

# Technical Appendix 10A: Tree Constraints

Penpergwm Solar Farm

22/06/2021



#### Disclaimer

Neo Environmental Limited shall have no liability for any loss, damage, injury, claim, expense, cost or other consequence arising as a result of use or reliance upon any information contained in or omitted from this document.

#### Copyright © 2021

The material presented in this report is confidential. This report has been prepared for the exclusive use of Great House Energy Centre Limited. The report shall not be distributed or made available to any other company or person without the knowledge and written consent of Great House Energy Centre Limited or Neo Environmental Ltd.

Neo Enviro	onmental Ltd
Head Offic	ce - Glasgow:
Wright Bus	iness Centre,
1 Lonm	nay Road,
Gla	sgow.
G3	3 4EL
T 0141	773 6262
E: <u>info@neo-en</u>	vironmental.co.uk
Warrington Office:	Rugby Office:
Cinnamon House,	Valiant Suites,
Crab Lane,	Lumonics House, Valley Drive,
Warrington,	Swift Valley, Rugby,
WA2 OXP.	Warwickshire, CV21 1TQ.
<b>T:</b> 01925 661 716	<b>T:</b> 01788 297012
E: info@neo-environmental.co.uk	E: info@neo-environmental.co.uk
Ireland Office:	Northern Ireland Office:
Johnstown Business Centre,	83-85 Bridge Street
Johnstown House,	Ballymena,
Naas,	Co. Antrim
Co. Kildare.	BT43 5EN
<b>T:</b> 00 353 (0)45 844250	<b>T:</b> 0282 565 04 13
E: info@neo-environmental.ie	E: info@neo-environmental.co.uk



Technical Appendix 10A: Tree Constraints

#### Prepared For:

Great House Energy Centre Limited

#### Prepared By:

Dr Richard Wilson MICFor, RCAA





	Name	Date
Edited By:	Nicole Beckett	22/06/2021
Checked By:	Simon Newall	22/06/2021
	Name	Signature
Approved By	Paul Neary	Pul the



# Contents

Statement of Purpose	5
Introduction	6
Tree Assessment	11
Preliminary Advice	
SURVEY KEY	
Appendices	41



## STATEMENT OF PURPOSE

This draft Tree Constraints Plan is being published to accompany pre-application consultation carried out under Articles 8 and 9 of the Development of National Significance (Procedure) (Wales) Order 2016. The formal pre-application consultation runs until 25<sup>th</sup> August 2021. This report is to be read in conjunction with:

• Volume 3: Technical Appendix 10B: Arboricultural Impact Assessment and Tree Protection Plan



## **INTRODUCTION**

#### Background

- 10.1. Wilson Tree Surveys has been appointed by Neo Environmental Limited, on behalf of Great House Energy Centre Limited (the "Applicant") to complete a Tree Survey according to British Standard BS5837:2012: Trees in Relation to Design, Demolition and Construction, for a proposed solar farm and associated infrastructure (the "Proposed Development") on lands 0.5km north of Penpergwm and circa 3.9km southeast of Abergavenny, Monmouthshire (the "Application Site").
- 10.2. Please see **Figure 4 of Volume 2** for the layout of the Proposed Development.

#### **Development Description**

10.3. The Proposed Development consists of the construction of a 40MW solar farm and will comprise PV panels mounted on metal frames, inverter and transformer units, new access tracks, underground cabling, perimeter fencing with CCTV cameras and access gates, a temporary construction compound and all ancillary grid infrastructure and associated works.

#### Site Description

- 10.4. The Application Site is located on lands 0.5km north of Penpergwm and c. 3.9km southeast of Abergavenny, Monmouthshire; the approximate centre point of which is Grid Reference E332954, N211435. Comprising 14 agricultural fields, the Application Site measures 70.03 hectares (ha) in total with only c. 17.61 hectares of the landscape under the solar arrays themselves. See Figure 4 of Volume 2: Planning Application Drawings for details.
- 10.5. Land within the Application Site itself is undulating, ranging between 61 140m Above Ordnance Datum (AOD) and consists of fields typically of medium scale, bound by a mixture of grassy field margins, semi-mature hedgerows, and intermittent trees (see Figure 3 of Volume 2: Planning Application Drawings for field numbers).
- 10.6. The Application Site is in an area with existing electricity infrastructure with a pylon line crossing Field 3 to the north and running in a north south direction between Fields 6 and 7 and to the west of Field 8.
- 10.7. The local area is largely agricultural in nature, punctuated by individual properties and farmsteads; the nearest residential areas are the villages of Penpergwm and The Bryn; located 0.5km and 0.9km north respectively. Recreational Routes include two Public Rights of Way (PRoW) which pass through Fields 8, 9, 10 and 11 in the southern section of the site and an Other Route with Public Access (ORPA) which passes from Great House along the eastern boundary of Field 14 and through the treeline on the southern border of Fields 5, 6 and 7. Another PRoW passes along the northern boundary of Fields 1, 3 and 4.



- 10.8. While there are a number of drains and watercourses throughout the Application Site, including a small tributary of the Frwd Brook bordering Field 11, the site is entirely contained within Flood Zone A, an area described as having a *"Low probability"* of flooding.
- 10.9. The Application Site will be accessed via an improved farm access situated on the southern boundary. Traffic will approach the site entrance from the south using a local road from Penpergwm for approximately 800m. Traffic will be routed to Penpergwm from the north via the B4598. This road connects to the strategic road network south of Abergavenny at the A40 / A465 interchange.

#### Situation:

- 10.10. The Proposed Development occupies an undulating site on the slopes of a low hill at an elevation of 68-132m outside the village of Llanddewi Rhydderch, Monmouthshire. The site is in a rural location, surrounded by farmland in the valley of the River Usk (Ordnance Survey, 2020). The local topography to the east is dominated by low undulating hills with frequent small woodlands and copses, with the River Usk lying 1.5km to the S. The hills of the Brecon Beacons and Black Mountains rise to c. 500m elevation, 5km to the W. Although this region is generally subject to moderate to low wind exposure, the elevated position may lead to a modest increase in wind speeds. The presence of the mountains to the W are likely to generate significant shelter and no gross morphological indications of wind exposure were observed.
- 10.11. Surface deposits consist of variable clays, sands and gravels of glacial origin (aka 'Devensian Till' or 'Diamicton') overlying sandstones, limestones and mudstones (BGS, 2020). There are no relevant boreholes in the vicinity. Soil type is described as a free-draining slightly acid loam of low fertility (LandIS, 2020). Conditions for tree growth are therefore moderately good with generally low wind exposure, low soil fertility and adequate water availability.

#### Weather and access conditions

10.12. Inspection took place between June 8<sup>th</sup> and 11<sup>th</sup>, 2020. Weather conditions varied during the survey period but were adequate at all times. Access was generally adequate but some trees were obscured from view by exceptionally dense ivy or other vegetation while others were adjacent to site boundaries or surrounded by dense vegetation and were assessed from one side only.

#### Statutory protections:

10.13. No Tree Preservation Orders or Conservation Area statuses are in force at Great House Farm (phone enquiry to Monmouthshire County Council Tree Officer made at 14:25 on 17/06/2020). The property is subject to the provisions of the Forestry Act (1967) and a Felling Licence may be required in order to undertake felling operations resulting in the production of >5m<sup>3</sup> timber in any calendar quarter.



#### **Techniques Employed**

- Visual Tree Assessment (VTA; Lonsdale, 1999);
- Desk-based enquiries (legal status, geology, mapping);
- THREATS analysis (where required; Forbes-Laird, 2010); and
- RAVEN assessment (Forbes-Laird, 2019).

#### Limitations

- 10.14. The contents are intended for the sole use of the client. It is also understood that the document may be shared with the Local Planning Authority and professionals concerned with the Proposed Development. No liability is accepted for their use by any other parties to advance an argument or claim (including legal or financial) without prior consent.
- 10.15. No liability is accepted for defects or features hidden from view by soil, vegetation or other obstacles to access.
- 10.16. Formal assessment of topography, drainage, service conduits, & soil conditions have not been made and are beyond the scope of this report.
- 10.17. Specific laboratory investigations of soil properties (plasticity index, moisture content, soil suction pressure) have not been made and are beyond the scope of this report.
- 10.18. This report considers only the general condition and value of the trees as they relate to the proposed construction project. It must not be relied upon as a risk-based analysis of tree safety. Any comments relating to the potential of trees to cause damage or injury under normally expected weather conditions are entirely incidental.
- 10.19. This report considers only the potential for the surveyed trees to be damaged by the proposed development, and for the building and construction activities to be affected by the trees under normally expected weather conditions. No liability for damage arising from any other source or mechanism is accepted.
- 10.20. Tree root positions have not been definitively ascertained or mapped. The likely distribution of tree roots has been estimated based on visible root and buttress morphology, the presence of visible barriers to root development and a knowledge of tree root behaviour. Advice has therefore been framed in terms describing their likely presence or absence. The avoidance of roots cannot be guaranteed. No liability is therefore accepted for costs arising from the unexpected presence of tree roots.
- 10.21. Advice is based on the descriptions of existing ground surfaces, of the proposed construction and of proposed construction techniques provided in electronic documents by the client. No liability is accepted for errors or omissions arising from the information contained in these sources.



- 10.22. This report will be deemed to be invalid if a history of vegetation related subsidence damage in this or surrounding properties exists but has not been made known to the surveyor.
- 10.23. This report considers adverse impact mitigation measures, as opposed to impact elimination. Thus, if a tree is retained, a level of potential impact will remain. It is expected that such impacts will be managed by the owner / occupier on an ongoing basis.
- 10.24. The tree survey plan is based on site drawing supplied by Neo Environmental on June 3rd, 2020. The client is responsible for the accuracy of these drawings, of design descriptions supplied, and for the advice based on them. No liability is accepted for errors or omissions arising from inaccuracies in or omissions from the plans provided by third parties.
- 10.25. Generally, tree stems were not plotted in the topographical survey plan received. Accurate positions were plotted for trees 1004-9, 1019, 1026-27, 1035, 1056-57, 1061, 1069, 1075 and TG1022. Outlines of hedgerows and woodland groups were also provided. All other trees and tree groups are shown in approximate locations. Best endeavours have been made to represent them in their true locations. Plans contained in this report must not be relied upon for accurate determinations of root protection areas, tree conflicts or detailed setting out. Tree locations must be confirmed by on-site inspection. Wilson Tree Surveys accepts no liability for errors, omissions or economic consequences arising from the use of this plan.
- 10.26. It is understood that any risks associated with these limitations are accepted by the clients.

#### Validity:

10.27. Plants are biological organisms & change with time. Assessment remains valid for 12 months from the date of inspection, or until a major storm (Wind Force 6 +) is experienced.

#### **References:**

British Geological Survey (2020). Geology of Britain Viewer 1:50,000. BGS, Keyworth, Nottingham. http://mapapps.bgs.ac.uk/geologyofbritain/home.html

British Standards Institute (2012). BS5837:2012 – Trees in relation to design, demolition and construction - Recommendations. BSI Publications, London.

Forbes-Laird, J. (2010). THREATS tree hazard assessment system. <u>http://www.flac.uk.com/wp-content/uploads/2010/07/THREATS-GN-June-2010.pdf</u>

Forbes-Laird, J. (2019). RAVEN Recognition of Ancient Veteran and Notable trees. <u>https://www.flac.uk.com/downloads/tree-assessment-systems.html</u>

Forestry Commission and Natural England (2018). Standing advice: Ancient woodland, ancient trees and veteran trees: protecting them from development. <u>https://www.gov.uk/guidance/ancient-woodland-and-veteran-trees-protection-surveys-licences</u>



LandIS (Land information system; Soilscape viewer). Cranfield University. http://www.landis.org.uk/index.cfm

Ordnance Survey (2020). OS Maps service at <u>https://www.ordnancesurvey.co.uk/osmaps/</u>. Ordnance Survey, Southampton.



# TREE ASSESSMENT

#### Table 10A.1: Tree survey data schedule for individual trees

Ref. No.	Species	Ht.	Cr	own Sp	oread (n		Ht. 1 <sup>st</sup> Br.	: Ht. Can.	Stem Count		Stem D	<b>a.</b> (mm)	)	Life Stage	Phys. Condition	Structural condition & Notes Management recommendations	Ret. Span	QV Grade
		(m)	N	S	w	E	(m)	(m)		1/ mean	2	<b>,</b> ,	4 5					
1001	Ash	7.4	2	2.5	3.5		1 2.5 W	3	1	240				EM	G	Remaining stem of multi-stemmed tree, four stems removed. Appearance suggests former boundary coppice. Large region of exposed desiccated wood at ground level to 0.5m S. Abnormal hammer taps to E. No fungal fruiting bodies observed. Surface roots damaged. No symptoms consistent with Ash Dieback Disease observed.	10+	C2
1002	Hawthorn	5.5	2	2	2	2.	.5 1 N	1.5	1	150				м	F	Unremarkable. Form and condition typical for species. No significant defects. Diameter at breast height measured at 1m due to form.	20+	C1
1003	Hawthorn	7	4.75	2.5	4.5		3 0.4 E	0	1	450				ОМ	G	Form suggestive of boundary coppice. Crown consists of regrowth from pollard stump at 1.5m. Basal stem form suggests layered. Exposed decayed wood at 0.75m N. Stem hollow. Lump of broken decayed wood on ground. Roots extensively undermined by rabbit No action regired at time of survey. burrows. Evidence of soil movement adjacent to stem. Dense bramble growth to 5m. Partial inspection only. No fungal fruiting bodies observed.	10+	C1
1004	Ash	17.2	5	12	8		7 :	2 2.5	1	1700				ом	F	Diameter at breast height measured at 1m due to form. Single-stemmed at ground level. Bole 1.75m. Three principal stems arise; 4th stem to NW lost. Butt and bole extensively hollow. Open cavities visible on primary structure to 3m. Brown rot present. Stem to SW truncated at 4m with fracture. Other major branch loss to 8m S. Crown light but overall healthy. Infrequent significant deadwood. Nesting hole 10m W associated with brackets of the primary decay fungus Inonotus hispidus and of saprophytic fungus Daldinia concentrica. RAVEN assessment: features consistent with " <b>ancient veteran</b> " tree descriptor.	>40	A1,3*
1005	, Ash	13.8	9	7	7		835	2	1	1434				ОМ	G	Diameter at breast height measured at 1m due to form. Single-stemmed at ground level. Bole 1.75m. Two principal stems arise; 3rd stem to SE lost and on ground below. Butt and bole extensively hollow. Open cavities visible on primary structure to to 1.5m. Brown rot present. Crown overall healthy. Infrequent significant deadwood. Multiple scars associated with the primary decay fungus Innontus hispidus to 6m. RAVEN assessment: features consistent with " <b>veteran tree</b> " descriptor.	>40	A1,3*
1006	Ash	17.8	4	9	7.5		6 3.5 NE	2.5	1	747				М	F	Butt extensively hollow. Open cavity visible at ground level N. Frequent major and significant deadwood - dieback but pattern not consistent with Ash Dieback Disease. No action reqired at time of survey. Bracket of the primary decay fungus Inonotus hispidus at 12m E. Major limb lost at 12m S. Wind vulnerable major limb arising 8m SW. Non-notable, non-veteran.	10+	C1
1007	Ash	14.4	5	7	6	7.	.5 3 E	2	1	612				м	G	Butt extensively hollow. Open cavity visible at ground level N with old fronds of the saprophytic decay fungus Pseudotrametes gibosa. Crown healthy. Frequent significant No action reqired at time of survey. deadwood - no Ash Dieback Disease. Nesting hole 8m S. Non-notable, non-veteran.	20+	B1



#### Page **12** of **41**

Ref. No.	Species	Ht.	с	rown S	pread (		Ht. 1 <sup>st</sup> Br.	<sup>:</sup> Ht. Can.	Stem Count		Sten	n Dia. (r	nm)	ι	Life Stage	Phys. Condition	Structural condition & Notes	Management recommendations	Ret. Span	QV Grade
		(m)	N	s	w	E	(m)	(m)		1/ mean	2	3	4	5						
1008	, Pedunculate oak	14.5	8	10.5	5 10	)	7 3 E	2.5	1	991					Μ	G	Single-stemmed at ground level. Normal hammer taps. No fungal fruiting bodies observed. Stands on 0.5m ditch bank. Soil erosion has created still-like exposure of major roots. Low density ivy obscured stem and principal unions between 2-8m. Partial inspection only. Stem, principal unions and primary limbs appear to be in good structural condition. Bifurcation 4m appears to be a non-included bark union. Crown full, healthy, reasonably symmetrical. Infrequent significant deadwood. Deadwood in crown apex limited to single 4th order branch. Single branch fracture in upper crown S. Mature tree in good health and with no significant defects.	No action reqired at time of survey.	>40	A1
1009	Pedunculate oak	15.5	7	8.5	5 6	5 6.	5 2 E	2.5	1	931					ОМ	G	Single-stemmed at ground level. Normal hammer taps. No fungal fruiting bodies observed. Stands on 0.5m ditch bank. Soil erosion has created stilt-like exposure of major roots. Low density ivy obscured stem and principal unions between 2-8m. Partial inspection only. Stem, principal unions and primary limbs appear to be in good structural condition. Crown full, healthy, reasonably symmetrical. Infrequent significant deadwood. Large amounts of major deadwood in crown apex giving stag-headed appearance. Mature tree retrenching to form lower crown.	No action reqired at time of survey.	>40	A1
1010	Ash	16	5	Ē	5 6	5	6 5	5 10	2	850	300				М	F	Off-site. Behind fence. Dense vegetation obscured stem and principal unions to 10m. Inspected from 6m S. Partial inspection only. Bark dysfunctional and flaking from stem. Stands on near-vertical stream bank. At least two decayed major limb stubs. Frequent significant deadwood. Untidy nest in upper crown. Overall appears to be in decline. No symptoms consistent with Ash Dieback Disease observed.	No action reqired at time of survey.	10+	C1
1011	Ash	13.5	6.5		7 6.5	5	7 2 N	1.75	6	179					м	G	Single-stemmed at ground level. Bole 1m. Stems arise with included bark unions. Remining stem, principal unions and primary limbs appear to be in good structural condition. No significant defects. Normal hammer taps. No fungal fruiting bodies observed. Lacks special qualities of Category A.	No action reqired at time of survey.	20+	B1
1012	Hazel	6	2.5	3	3 4	<b>1</b> .	3 (	0 0.5	24	66					м	G	Multi-stemmed at ground level. Form suggests coppice tree. Outgrown into mature tree. Stem, principal unions and primary limbs appear to be in reasonable structural condition. No fungal fruiting bodies observed. Lacks special qualities of Category A.	No action reqired at time of survey.	20+	B1
1013	Alder	16	5	6	5 3	3 4.	5 0.5 S	4	2	700	600				М	G	Off-site. Behind fence. Dense vegetation obscured stem and principal unions to 10m. Inspected from 2m S. Partial inspection only. Stands on stream bank. Stems arise from a common bole at ground level with a non-included bark union. Third stem to S removed. Visible stem, principal unions and primary limbs appear to be in reasonable structural condition although the two stems diverge at a wide angle and are somewhat wind- vulnerable. No fungal fruiting bodies observed.	No action reqired at time of survey.	20+	B1
1014	Pedunculate oak	18.5	10	10	0 11	1 11.	5 4 E	3	1	1350					М	Ρ	Off-site. Behind fence. Dense vegetation obscured stem and principal unions to 10m. Managed moderate density ivy obscured stem and principal unions to 4m. Inspected from 2m S. Partial inspection only. Stands on stream bank. Multiple brackets of the primary decay fungi Ganoderma applantum and resinaceum. Extensive significant deadwood throughout crown. Foliage under-developed and lighter than is normal. Estblished dieback. Slow decline anticipated.	No action reqired at time of survey.	10+	C1
1015	Alder	13.5	5	6	5 7	7 4.	5 7 W	6	1	325					М	F	Off-site. Behind fence. Dense vegetation obscured stem and principal unions to 10m. Inspected from 1m S. Partial inspection only. Stands on stream bank. Stem, principal unions and primary limbs appear to be in good structural condition, minor defects only. Crown biased S, N crown showing signs of dieback, presumably due to competition. Attractive tree but lacks special qualities of Category A.	No action reqired at time of survey.	20+	В1



Ref. No.	Species	Ht.	Cro	wn Sp	oread (m	ו)	Ht. 1 <sup>st</sup> Br.	Ht. Can.	Stem Count		Ster	n Dia. (I	mm)	L	ife Stage.	Phys. Condition	Structural condition & Notes	Management recommendations	Ret. Span	QV Grade
		(m)	N	s	w	E	(m)	(m)		1/ mean	2	3	4	5						
1016	Ash	19.5	15	10.5	15	11.5	5 1.5 W	6	2	1350	550				М	G	Off-site. Stands on edge near vertical stream bank. Unclear if secondary stem is part of same tree. Main stem bifurcation at 4m with long-standing included bark union and low grade reaction wood. Geometry appears stable. Large amounts of significant deadwood throughout crown but appears to be physiological in origin. Overall crown condition good. No symptoms consistent with Ash Dieback Disease observed. Major branch loss stubs at 8m. Brackets of saprophytic fungus Daldinia concentrica on dead limb arising from secondary stem. Size and stature significant, defects remediable, included bark union stable. RAVEN assessment: <b>Notable, non-veteran</b> .	No action reqired at time of survey.	>40	A1*
1017	Ash	10	4.5	3	3	3.5	5 1 E	3	1	195					EM	G	Stands within HR1007 on 0.5m earth bank with ditch adjacent. Stem arises on N face of bank, turns 90° to upright. Stem malformed. Bifurcation at 5m. Primary limbs twist around each other to form natural brace. Crown full and healthy. No symptoms consistent with Ash Dieback Disease observed. Reasonable structural condition but low merit.	No action reqired at time of survey.	20+	C1
1018	Pedunculate oak	12	6	4	7	5	515	1.75	1	500					EM	G	Off-site. Inspected at 7m distance from W. Partial inspection only. Visible stem, principal unions and primary limbs appear to be in reasonable structural condition. Good future potential. No significant defects. Lacks special qualities of Category A.	No action reqired at time of survey.	>40	B1
1019	Crack willow	12	5	5	4	4	1 2	2.5	1	600					м	G	Off-site. Stem and principal unions obscured by 3.5m hedge. Partial inspection only. Visible parts of primary structure appear to be in reasonable structural condition. No significant defects.	No action reqired at time of survey.	20+	B1
1020	Hawthorn	5.5	3	3	2.5	2.5	0.5	1	10	65					м	G	Off-site. Inspected at 3m distance from W. Partial inspection only. Visible parts of stem, principal unions and primary limbs appear to be in reasonable structural condition. No significant defects. Low merit.	No action reqired at time of survey.	20+	C1
1021	Ash	18	6	10	7.5	5.5	5 5 E	2	1	688					м	Р	Single-stemmed at ground level, Normal hammer taps. No fungal fruiting bodies observed. Areas of exposed desiccated wood at ground level. Stem, principal unions and primary limbs appear to be in reasonable structural condition. Crown hollowed out with frequent significant deadwood. Ash Dieback Disease suspected. In combination with basal bark loss my indicate co-infection with the primary decay fungus Armillaria gallica. In decline.	No action reqired at time of survey.	<10	U
1022	Willow sp	10	3	4	2	e	5 3 S	3	1	310					М	D	Dead tree on margin WG1003 and inclined 45° towards development area. Rhizomorphs of the primary decay fungus Armillaria gallica visible. Root area extensively undermined by rabbit burrows.	Fell prior to commencement.	<10	U
1023	Ash	21.5	8	10	6	11	0.5 NE	1	1	730					м	G	Single-stemmed at ground level. Normal hammer taps. No fungal fruiting bodies observed. Stands on earth bank, no undermining apparent. Buttresses exposed. Stem inclined 10° SE. Stem, principal unions and primary limbs appear to be in reasonable structural condition. Exposed area of desiccated wood at ground level SE with good woundwood formation. Crown full, healthy. No symptoms consistent with Ash Dieback Disease observed. Large and impressive but defects downgrade tree from Category A.	No action reqired at time of survey.	20+	B1
1024	Beech	21	5	13	6	8	315	2	2	725	1125				М	G	Single stool at ground level c. 3.5m diameter. Bifurcation at 1.5m. Stem diameter measured above bifurcation due to form. Large, deeply fluted stool with basal decay and openings to heartwood with good woundwood formation. Putative fox earth below. On steep earth bank with 25° inclind SE. Normal hammer taps. No fungal fruiting bodies observed. Stem, principal unions and primary limbs appear to be in good structural condition. Occasional major deadwood. Crown full and healthy. Assymmetry and leaning habit minor defects in context of size, habitat value and aesthetics of tree. A particularly valuable landscape feature. RAVEN assessment: features and size consistent with "notable, non-veteran" descriptor. Size at lower limit of "ancient"	No action reqired at time of survey.	>40	A1*
1025	Crack willow	8.5	3	6	4.5	5	5 1.5 S	2	2	360	328				М	G	Stands in pond. Stems inclined 50° S. Inspected from 10m. Partial inspection only. Stem, principal unions and primary limbs appear to be in reasonable structural condition. Infrequent significant deadwood and fractured limbs. Crown full and healthy. Reasonably attractive feature with pond.	No action reqired at time of survey.	20+	B1



Ref. No.	Species	Ht.	c	Crown S	<b>pread</b> (n		Ht. 1 <sup>st</sup> Br.	Ht. Can.	Stem Count		Stem	Dia. (n	n <b>m)</b>		Life Stage	Phys. Condition	Structural condition & Notes Management recommendations	Ret. Spar	QV Grade
		(m)	N	S	w	E	(m)	(m)	ſ	1/ nean	2	3	4	5					
1026	Pedunculate oak	15	6	5	6	5	5 1.75 S	2	1	703					М	Ρ	Single-stemmed at ground level. Normal hammer taps. No fungal fruiting bodies observed. Single black exudate bleed 1m SW. Extensive major and significant deadwood. Initial stag- head deadwood development and attempted retrenchment overtaken by rapidly advancing peripheral dieback. In rapid decline. Suspected root dysfunction.	<10	U
1027	Pedunculate oak	15	7	g	10.5	9.5	5 2 NE	3	1	939					М	F	Single-stemmed at ground level. Stem, principal unions and primary limbs appear to be in reasonable structural condition. Voids beginning to form between buttresses, probed >350mm with evidence of decayed wood within. Normal hammer taps. No fungal fruiting bodies observed. Crown densely foliated, healthy colour but frequent major and No action regired at time of survey. significant deadwood, extending to the periphery. Dense epicormic growth on stem and primary limbs. Old dried blackened remains of exudate at 1.5m S. Root dysfunction suspected. Currently overall satisfactory but future retention in doubt.	20+	B1
1028	Hazel	8.5	2	4	5	5	5 1.5 S	1	30	65					М	G	Coppice hazel. Unremarkable. Would benefit from re-coppicing. No action reqired at time of survey.	20+	B1
1029	Ash	13	7	6.5	6	e	5 1.5 S	3	6	270					Μ	G	Overstood, layered boundary coppice. Stem and principal unions obscured by HR1014 to 4m. Partial inspection only. Basal decay. No fungal fruiting bodies observed. Other visible stem, principal unions and primary limbs appear to be in reasonable structural condition. No action regired at time of survey. Crown full and healthy. No symptoms consistent with Ash Dieback Disease observed. S stem attached with included bark union.	20+	B1
1030	Sessile oak	12	5	5	4	5	5 2 NE	3.5	1	400					М	G	Single-stemmed at ground level. Stem inclined 5* E. Basal canker and decay. No fungal fruiting bodies observed. Other stem, principal unions and primary limbs appear to be in reasonable structural condition. Form drawn up due to competition. Infrequent significant deadwood and fractured limbs.	>40	B1
1031	Hybrid oak	13	12.5	10	9	10	) 2 W	1.5	2	580	598				М	G	Single-stemmed at ground level. Normal hammer taps. No fungal fruiting bodies observed. Bifurcation at 1m. Union open, well-formed but with a distinct bark ridge below. Fully fused natural brace at 2.5m. Stem, principal unions and primary limbs appear to be in No action regired at time of survey. good structural condition. No significant defects. Crown full and healthy. Large dead limb resting in bole, origin unknown. Classic crown outline. Good example of species.	>40	A1
1032	Pedunculate oak	15	8	7	8.5	g	9 3 W	2	1	870					М	G	Single-stemmed at ground level. Normal hammer taps. No fungal fruiting bodies observed. Stands on steep 1m earth bank. Voids forming under buttresses. Recently formed target canker on buttress to S. Bole 3.5m. Stem, principal unions and primary limbs appear to be in good structural condition. Crown full and healthy. Untidy outline. Several major branch loss wounds. Frequent major and significant deadwood. Significant tree but lacks special qualities of Category A.	>40	B1
1033	Sycamore	17	7	7	, 5	e	5 3 N	3	2	567	406				М	G	Twin-stemmed at ground level. Abnormal hammer taps. No fungal fruiting bodies observed. Stands on steep 1m earth bank. Very extnsive basal decay. Decayed wood exposed, visible from ground level to 3m, soft, probed >350mm at ground level. Other Fell prior to commencement. stem, principal unions and primary limbs appear to be in reasonable structural condition. Crown full and healthy. Infrequent significant deadwood.	<10	U
1034	Holly	9.5	3.5	2.5	2	4	4 0.5	0	3	250	250	200			М	G	Three-stemmed at ground level. Included bark unions. Stem and principal unions obscured by HR1018 to 3m and by dense foliage. Partial inspection only. Crown appears to No action reqired at time of survey. be in satisfactory condition. Otherwise unremarkable.	20+	B1
1035	Ash	12.5	7.5	7	6	5.5	5 2.5 N	3	1	600					М	G	Single-stemmed at ground level. HR1018 obscured stem and principal unions to 3.5m. Inspected from E. Partial inspection only. Visible stem, principal unions and primary limbs appear to be in good structural condition. No significant defects. Lacks special qualities of Category A.	20+	B1



Ref. No.	Species	Ht.		Crown S	<b>pread</b> (n	n)	Ht. 1 <sup>st</sup> Br.	Ht. Can.	Stem Count		Sten	n Dia. (r	nm)		Life Stage	Phys. Condition	Structural condition & Notes Management recommendations	Ret. Span	QV Grade
		(m)	N	s	w	E	(m)	(m)		1/ mean	2	3	4	5					
1036	Sycamore	12	4	ţ .	4 5	5 4	4 SW	4		369					М	G	Single-stemmed at ground level. Arises from N face of bank at 45° then sweeps to upright by 2m. Stem then inclined 15° S. Open cavity at ground level with good woundwood No action reqired at time of survey. formation. Damaged limb at 6m N. Otherwise in satisfactory condition.	20+	B1
1037	Ash	17	6.5	5	3 6.5	5 7	2.5 W	2.5	4	500	500	360	375		OM	F	Overstood, layered boundary coppice. High density ivy obscured stem and principal unions to 6m. Partial inspection only. Advanced basal decay extending into stems to 5m. No fungal fruiting bodies observed. Crown full but lighter in foliage. Frequent significant Fell prior to commencement. deadwood. No symptoms consistent with Ash Dieback Disease observed. Retention beyond 10 years unlikely.	<10	U
1038	Field maple	10	5.5	5 5	6	5 7	'1S	2	3	600	400	250			OM	F	Off-site. Viewed from 3m N. Partial inspection only. Butt and all stems heavily decayed. Primary limbs damaged. Dense epicormic growth in lower crown. Foliage full and healthy. Retention beyond 10 years unlikely.	<10	U
1039	Ash	15	6.5	5	4 6	5 3.5	6 S	3	1	469					М	F	Single-stemmed at ground level. Basal decay. Normal hammer taps. No fungal fruiting bodies observed. Other stem, principal unions and primary limbs appear to be in reasonable structural condition. Frequent significant deadwood. Crown full but foliage light. Early decline suspected.	10+	C1
1040	Ash	17	7.5	5	3 9	8	3.5 N	2.5	4	310	374	752	284		ОМ	G	Overstood, layered boundary coppice. Extensive basal decay extending into stems to 2.5m. Abnormal hammer taps. No fungal fruiting bodies observed. Crown full and healthy. Frequent significant deadwood. No symptoms consistent with Ash Dieback Disease observed. Retention span could be maximised with sympathetic management. Historically important tree at risk in current state. QV grade assessed for tree without management intervention.	10+	C1
1041	Ash	12	1.5	5	2 3.5	5 3.5	2.5 SW	2	2	322	455				ОМ	F	Twin-stemmed at ground level. Extensive basal decay. Abnormal hammer taps. No fungal fruiting bodies observed. Other stem, principal unions and primary limbs appear to be in reasonable structural condition. Crown full and healthy. No symptoms consistent with Ash Fell prior to commencement. Dieback Disease observed. Stems truncated at 10m. Interesting tree of historic interest and form but lacks special qualities of Category A.	10+	C3
1042	White willow	14	-	7	5 11	5	3.5 W	2.5	1	762					М	G	Single-stemmed at ground level. Basal decay. Normal hammer taps. No fungal fruiting bodies observed. Remains of collapsed primary limbs to E and W. Frequent significant No action reqired at time of survey. deadwood. Limb to W at 4m over-extended. Further major limb loss likely.	20+	B1
1043	Pedunculate oak	14	٤	3	98	6	i 4 E	1	2	525	700				М	G	Inspected from N side at 10m. Partial inspection only. Single-stemmed at ground level. Bifurcation at 1.25m. Diameter at breast height measured at 1.75m due to form. Stems in reasonable structural condition. Primary limbs damaged. Hazard beam to S. Several major No action reqired at time of survey. fractured stubs elsewhere. Frequent significant deadwood. Crown full and healthy. Attractive, significant tree.	>40	B1
1044	Ash	14	4	1 5	5 4	5.5	3 W	2	1	346					Μ	G	Single-stemmed at ground level. Stem, principal unions and primary limbs appear to be in good structural condition. Minor deadwood. Crown full and healthy. No symptoms consistent with Ash Dieback Disease observed. Wire fence becoming engulfed by lower stem. Overall, reasonably attractive but lacks special qualities of Category A.	20+	B1
1045	Pedunculate oak	17.6	8.5	5 10	) 7	7 10	3 N	2	1	800					Μ	G	Observed from N side at 4m. Partial inspection only. Single-stemmed at ground level. Stem inclined 10° S. Stands at top 1.5m bank. Track to S. Stem, principal unions and primary limbs appear to be in reasonable structural condition. Hazard beam to SE 4m, N No action regired at time of survey. 10m. Major deadwood. Frequent significant deadwood. Crown full and healthy. Attractive, significant tree but lacks special qualities of Category A.	>40	B1



Ref. No.	Species	Ht.	(	Crown S	<b>pread</b> (m	) H	Ht. 1 <sup>st</sup> Br.	Ht. Can.	Stem Count		Ster	n Dia. (	mm)	Li	fe Stage	Phys. Condition	Structural condition & Notes	Management recommendations	Ret. Span	QV Grade
		(m)	N	s	w	E	(m)	(m)		1/ mean	2	3	4	5						
1046	Ash	14	2	6	7	03	s	2	2	280	450				Μ	F	Observed from N side at 4m. Partial inspection only. Single-stemmed at ground level. Stem inclined 10° S. Stands at top 1.5m bank. Track to S. Bifurcation 0.75m. Stem, principal unions and primary limbs obscured by high density ivy to 10m. Frequent significant deadwood. Crown light. Heavy crown bias W and S after competition.	No action regired at time of survey.	20+	B1
1047	Ash	17	2.5	10	) 6	3 1	0 S	8	1	400					М	Ρ	Observed from N side at 6m. Partial inspection only. Single-stemmed at ground level. Stem inclined 20° S. Stands at top 2m bank. Track to S. Bifurcation 10m. S primary decayed with open cavity 11m and advanced dieback in crown. E primary truncated at 14m, other limbs damaged. Frequent significant deadwood. Crown light. Heavy bias S after competition.	Fell prior to commencement.	<10	U
1048	Ash	17	6	i 6	6	6 2	N	2	1	470					М	G	Observed from N side at 2m. Partial inspection only. Single-stemmed at ground level. Normal hammer taps. Stem, principal unions and primary limbs appear to be in reasonable structural condition. Infrequent significant deadwood. Crown full and healthy. No symptoms consistent with Ash Dieback Disease observed.	No action regired at time of survey.	20+	B1
1049	Ash	17	5	6	5 3.5	5 2	NE	1.5	1	504					м	F	Observed from N side at 2m. Partial inspection only. Single-stemmed at ground level. Heavy basal decay with open cavity extending 3m above ground. Abnormal hammer taps. No fungal fruiting bodies observed. Frequent significant deadwood. Crown light. Additional cavity at union with primary limb at 8m. Crown heavily biased E.	No action regired at time of survey.	10+	C1
1050	Gean	15	7	5	5	6 2.	.5 NE	3	2	450	500				М	F	Observed from N side at 2m. Partial inspection only. Twin-stemmed at ground level. Major bough loss x2 S. No fungal fruiting bodies observed. Frequent significant deadwood. Apical dieback. Crown light. Exposed decayed wood on primary limb 10m NW.	No action regired at time of survey.	10+	C2
1051	Pedunculate oak	16	8	6	5	8.5 4	N	2	1	700					М	G	Observed from N side at 2m. Partial inspection only. Single-stemmed at ground level. Arises with incline S then turns to 15° NE by 2m. No fungal fruiting bodies observed. Stem, principal unions and primary limbs appear to be in reasonable structural condition. Crown full and healthy. Biased N and E. Infrequent significant deadwood.	No action regired at time of survey.	>40	B1
1052	Pedunculate oak	23	10	10	8.5	7 5	N	3	1	780					М	G	Observed from N side at 2m. Partial inspection only. Single-stemmed at ground level. Normal hammer taps. No fungal fruiting bodies observed. Stem, principal unions and primary limbs appear to be in good structural condition. Crown full and healthy. Infrequent significant deadwood. Attractive, symmetrical.	No action regired at time of survey.	>40	A1
1053	Gean	14.5	8.5	4	6	6.5 3	N	2	1	450					М	G	Observed from N side at 3m. Partial inspection only. Single-stemmed at ground level. No fungal fruiting bodies observed. Stem, principal unions and primary limbs appear to be in reasonable structural condition. Large canker at 3.5m NE. Further cankers in upper crown. Several decayed limb stubs forming socket cavities. Crown reasonable. Infrequent significant deadwood.	No action regired at time of survey.	20+	B1
1054	Pedunculate oak	18	7	, 14	6.5	4 4.	.5 S	3	1	1200					М	G	Single-stemmed at ground level. Site of secondary stem loss with included bark union at ground level NW. Decayed remains still attached. Woundwood forming around canker immediately above at 2-3m, nesting hole in exposed decayed wood. Abnormal hammer taps. Major bottle butt distortion to 4m. Stem inclined 15° S. Primary limb 6m S with crack- like feature. Other stem, principal unions and primary limbs appear to be in reasonable structural condition. Crown full and healthy. No fungal fruiting bodies observed. Expected amount of significant deadwood. Crown heavily biased S. Potential to become future veteran tree but currently lacks special qualities of Category A. RAVEN assessment: consistent with " <b>notable</b> " tree descriptor.	No action reqired at time of survey.	>40	B1,3



#### Page **17** of **41**

Ref. No.	Species	Ht.	Cro	own Sp	read (m		Ht. 1 <sup>st</sup> Br.	Ht. Can.	Stem Count		Stem	Dia. (r	nm)		Life Stage	Phys. Condition	Structural condition & Notes Management recommendations	Ret. Span	QV Grade
		(m)	N	S	w	E	(m)	(m)		1/ mean	2	3	4	5					
1055	Pedunculate oak	18	8	12	9	10.5	5 3 N	2	1	1135					Μ	G	Single-stemmed at ground level. Normal hammer taps. Region of exposed decayed wood at 2m S. Primary limb at 4m S truncated with signs of superficial decay at end. Stem, principal unions and primary limbs appear to be in reasonable structural condition. Crown full and healthy. No fungal fruiting bodies observed. Major deadwood and frequent No action regired at time of survey. significant deadwood but within normal range. Light crown bias S. Some minor defects but overall an attractive tree in good condition. RAVEN assessment: consistent with " <b>notable</b> " tree descriptor.	>40	A1*
1056	Sycamore	13.5	3	4.5	7	7	7 2.5 W	2	3	253	312	315			М	G	Twin-stemmed at ground level included bark union. W stem bifurcation 1.25m. Large open decay cavity at ground level W. Abnormal hammer taps. No fungal fruiting bodies observed. Primary limbs inclined 5° E. Crown heavily biased E. Crown full and healthy. Infrequent deadwood.	<10	U
1057	Ash	12	1	6	4.5	6	53 W	1.5	2	450	250				ОМ	G	Overstood, layered boundary coppice. Basal decay. Abnormal hammer taps. No fungal fruiting bodies observed. Crown full and healthy. Infrequent significant deadwood. No symptoms consistent with Ash Dieback Disease observed. Retention span could be No action reqired at time of survey. maximised with sympathetic management. Historically important tree at risk in current state. QV grade assessed for tree without management.	20+	B1,3
1058	Ash	16	2	7	2.5	6	5 1.25 S	1.25	1	490					Μ	G	Form suggestive of overstood layered boundary coppice. Stem almost horizontal to 1m then turns through elbow to become upright. Exposed desiccated wood on elbow with good woundwood formation. Normal hammer taps. No fungal fruiting bodies observed. Remaining stem, principal unions and primary limbs appear to be in good structural condition. Crown full and healthy. No symptoms consistent with Ash Dieback Disease observed.	20+	B1,3
1059	Ash	12	4	5.5	4	5	5 2 E	2	1	578					М	G	Single-stemmed at ground level. Large open cavity at ground level to bole at 2m. Stem truncated at bole. Crown consists of regrowth. May be consistent with lightning strike damage. Size insufficient for veteran status. Crown size appropriate to stem. May be retained in current state.	20+	B1
1060	Hawthorn	4	1	1	1	1	0.1 W	0.5	4	60	30	30	30		SM	G	Unremarkable small tree with no significant defects. No action reqired at time of survey.	>40	C1
1061	Pedunculate oak	12	7	8	9.5	4.5	5 3.5 S	1.75	1	785					М	F	Single-stemmed at ground level. Normal hammer taps. No fungal fruiting bodies observed. Buttresses stilting. Stem, principal unions and primary limbs appear to be in good structural condition. Peripheral dieback. Infrequent significant deadwood. Early decline. No evidence of retrenchment. Striking landscape feature.	20+	B2
1062	Pedunculate oak	14	7	7	6.5	8.5	5 4 W	3.5	1	900					×	F	Single-stemmed at ground level. Stands at top 0.75m bank above ditch. Stem and principal unions obscured by HR1023 to 4m. Partial inspection only. Several major limbs to S / SE removed. Crown diminished with gaps and wind pockets. Early apical dieback. Frequent significant deadwood. Only tree in hedge - significant landscape contribution.	20+	B1
1063	Sessile oak	14	7.5	11	10	9	2 S	5	1	850					М	G	Single-stemmed at ground level. Normal hammer taps. No fungal fruiting bodies observed. Stem, principal unions and primary limbs appear to be in good structural condition. Occaisional major deadwood. Significant deadwood within normal range. No significant defects. Attractive significant tree.	>40	A1
1064	Gean	13	4	3	3	5	5 2 E	1.5	5	400	200	330	260	175	М	F	Overstood boundary coppice arising from S-facing 2m earth bank adjacent to private road (occaisional traffic at 40mph observed). May be off-site. Stool in poor structural condition. Basal decay, included bark unions. Stem to N of diameter=200mm dead, heavily decayed. Stem to S of diameter=260mm decayed and inclined 35° towards road. Wire mesh fence attached to stem. Collapse during a significant weather event foreseeable. <b>THREATS</b> <b>8x15x6=720; 13W</b> .	<10	U



Ref. No.	Species	Ht.		Crown S	pread (n	n)	Ht. 1 <sup>st</sup> Br.	Ht. Can.	Stem Count		Ster	n Dia. (	mm)	Lif	fe Stage	Phys. Condition	Structural condition & Notes Management recommendations	Ret. Span	QV Grade
		(m)	N	S	w	E	(m)	(m)		1/ mean	2	3	4	5					
1065	Pedunculate oak	14	6	5 7	5	6.	.5 2.5 NE	1.5	1	. 400					м	G	Arising from S-facing 1.5m earth bank adjacent to private road (occaisional traffic at 40mph observed). May be off-site. Viewed from 3m N. Partial inspection only. Stem, principal unions and primary limbs appear to be in reasonable structural condition. Lower No action reqired at time of survey. crown W absent to 6m creating crown bias. Infrequent significant deadwood. Lacks special qualities of Category A.	>40	B1
1066	Ash	12	-	7 7	5	; 5.	.5 3 W	4	3	300	250	300			М	G	Overstood boundary coppice arising from S-facing 1m earth bank adjacent to private road (occaisional traffic at 40mph observed). May be off-site. Inspected from 3m N. Obscured by HR1027 to 4m. Partial inspection only. Single-stemmed at ground level. Bole 1.5m. Diameter at breast height measured at 1.75m due to form. Stem, principal unions and No action reqired at time of survey. primary limbs appear to be in reasonable structural condition. Crown full and healthy. No symptoms consistent with Ash Dieback Disease observed. Lacks special qualities of Category A.	20+	B1
1067	Ash	11	٤	3 7	7	7	7 3	3 3	8	260					м	G	Overstood boundary coppice arising from S-facing 0.5m earth bank adjacent to private road (occaisional traffic at 40mph observed). May be off-site. Inspected from N. Obscured by HR1027 to 4m. Partial inspection only. Stem, principal unions and primary limbs appear to be in good structural condition. Crown full and healthy. No symptoms consistent with Ash Dieback Disease observed. Unions open and well-formed. Void space under butt of habitat value. Significant tree, crown appropriately sized and in good condition.	>40	A1,3
1068	Field maple	10	Ĩ	2 4	5	;	3 (	0 0	8	125					м	G	Hedge plant outgrown into tree. Crown consists of regrowth from pollard bole at 1.5m. May be off-site. Inspected from from N. HR1027 obscured stem and principal unions to to Sm. Partial inspection only. Overhead wire passes through crown. Unremarkable. Low merit.	20+	C2
1069	Pedunculate oak	18	11	L 11	. 9	9	9 3 N	3	1	. 1201					М	G	Single-stemmed at ground level. Bole 3m. Normal hammer taps. No fungal fruiting bodies observed. Stem, principal unions and primary limbs appear to be in good structural condition. Infrequent significant deadwood. Crown full and healthy. No significant defects. RAVEN assessment: consistent with " <b>notable</b> " tree descriptor.	>40	A1*
1070	Pedunculate oak	9	6.5	5 6	5.5	6.	.5 2 E	3	1	1400					ом	G	Single-stemmed at ground level. Bole 3m. Abnormal hammer taps. No fungal fruiting bodies observed. Stem hollow with small cavity openings ground level E and W. Stem has aged appearance. Form indicative of an old pollard. Stem, principal unions and primary limbs appear to be in good structural condition. Infrequent significant deadwood. Crown full and healthy. RAVEN assessment: features consistent with " <b>veteran pollard</b> "	>40	A1,3*
1071	White willow	14	-	7 12	. 8		8 (	0 0	1	. 1700					ом	G	Single standing stem remaining of collapsed veteran willow. Obscured by dense foliage. Other stems layering on ground. Butt extensively decayed and split open to reveal heartwood. Large amounts of major deadwood on ground, some lying in seasonally wet No action reqired at time of survey. ditches. Prolific new growth established on butt. RAVEN: features consistent with "veteran" tree descriptor.	20+	A3*
1072	Pedunculate oak	15	6.5	5 8	7	6.	.5 4 E	3	1	. 750					М	G	May be off-site. Single-stemmed at ground level. High density ivy obscured stem and principal unions to 12m. HR1034 obscured stem and principal unions to stem to 3m. Partial inspection only. Frequent major and significant deadwood. Crown full and healthy. Significant tree but lacks special qualities of Category A.	>40	B1
1073	Ash	11	4.5	5 5	5		5 3 W	4	2	320	350				М	F	May be off-site. Twin-stemmed at ground level. Inspected from 2m N. Partial inspection only. Two large limbs removed N side to accomodate fence. Frequent minor deadwood. No action reqired at time of survey. Crown hollowing. Ash Dieback Disease suspected.	<10	U



Ref. No.	Species	Ht.	C	Crown Sj	o <b>read</b> (r	n)	Ht. 1 <sup>st</sup> Br.	Ht. Can.	Stem Count		Sten	n Dia. (r	nm)	1	Life Stage	Phys. Condition	Structural condition & Notes	Management recommendations	Ret. Span	QV Grade
		(m)	N	s	w	E	(m)	(m)		1/ mean	2	3	4	5						
1074	Pedunculate oak	12	6.5	8	7	7	2.5 N	2		1 1100					Μ	G	Single-stemmed at ground level. Central stem rises to 9m. Normal hammer taps. No fungal fruiting bodies observed. Stem has aged appearance. Not believed to be an old pollard. Stem, principal unions and primary limbs appear to be in good structural condition. Frequent significant deadwood, peripheral dieback in E crown. Remaining crown full and healthy. Symmetrical. RAVEN assessmnet: consistent with " <b>notable, non-veteran</b> " descriptor.	No action reqired at time of survey.	>40	A1*
1075	Pedunculate oak	16.5	8	9.5	10	) 9	5 N	2	-	1 1100					Μ	G	Single-stemmed at ground level. Central stem rises to 12m. Normal hammer taps. No fungal fruiting bodies observed. Stem has aged appearance. Open grown form. Stem, principal unions and primary limbs appear to be in good structural condition. Infrequent significant deadwood. Crown full and healthy. Symmetrical. RAVEN assessmnet: consistent with "notable, non-veteran" descriptor.	No action reqired at time of survey.	>40	A1*
1076	Ash	18	4	6	7	' e	5 3 W	4	-	1 800					М	F	Off-site. Inspected from 7m N. High density ivy obscured stem and principal unions to 10m. Partial inspection only. Major bough lost at 4m SW. Prolific mast. Foliage light. Frequent minor deadwood but no symptoms consistent with Ash Dieback Disease observed. Significant tree but retention in doubt.	No action reqired at time of survey.	10+	C1
1077	Alder	17.5	9	12	10.5	; c	0.5	1	2	400	600	600	800		М	G	Off-site. Inspected from 7m N. Dense foliage obscured stem and principal unions to 4m. Partial inspection only. Visible stem, principal unions and primary limbs appear to be in reasonable structural condition. Crown full and healthy. Multi-stemmed coppice. Stool may be 3.5m diameter. A very impressive, historically important landscape feature. RAVEN assessment: features consistent with " <b>notable</b> " tree descriptor.	No action reqired at time of survey.	>40	A1,3*
1078	Ash	13	1	4	6.5	5 2	21N	5	1	1 235					EM	Р	Poor form. Dieback. Retention beyond 10 years unlikely.	No action reqired at time of survey.	<10	U
1079	Pedunculate oak	15	10.5	12	7.5	6.5	5 2 N	6	<u>-</u>	1 1100					м	G	Off-site. Inspected from 8m N. Partial inspection only. Single-stemmed at ground level. Bifurcation at 3m. Regions of bark loss on lower stem. Primary bough S with long linear region of exposed wood to 10m which may be consistent with a lightning strike. QV grade downgraded from Category A. Open grown form with full and healthy crown. Frequent significant deadwood. RAVEN assessmnet: consistent with " <b>notable, non-veteran</b> " descriptor.	No action reqired at time of survey.	>40	B1
1080	Ash	14	6	6	6	5 5	5 2.5 S	3		3 350	200	150			М	G	Off-site. Crown consists of regrowth from an old layered boundary coppice stool in HR1039. Stem and principal unions obscured by foliage and HR1039 to 3m. Inspected from N. Partial inspection only. Visible stem, principal unions and primary limbs appear to be in reasonable structural condition. Crown full and healthy. Small size but originating from old stool.	No action reqired at time of survey.	20+	B1,3
1081	Hawthorn	5	3	3	4	н з	0.5	0	-	1 250					М	G	Off-site. Stem and principal unions obscured by hedgerow to 3m. Inspected from 5m N. Partial inspection only. Unremarkable, Low merit	No action regired at time of survey.	20+	C1
1082	Gean	8	5	5	3	5.5	5 1.75 E	2.5		3 300	150	200			М	G	Off-site. Stem and principal unions obscured by hedgerow to 3m. Inspected from 3m N. Partial inspection only. Three-stemmed at ground level with included bark unions. Upright form with many secondary limbs attached with included bark unions. Minor limb damage in crown. Frequent minor deadwood. Large untidy nest in W crown. Crown full and healthy. Forms aerodynamic pair with tree 1083. Valuable ecological food source.	No action reqired at time of survey.	20+	B1
1083	Sycamore	8	4	6	5	5 1.5	5 3 SW	3	2	2 225	250				EM	G	Off-site. Stem and principal unions obscured by hedgerow to 3m. Inspected from 3m N. Partial inspection only. Twin-stemmed at ground level with non-included bark unions. Natural brace at 1.5m. Infrequent minor deadwood. Crown full and healthy. Forms aerodynamic pair with tree 1082. Some future potential.	No action reqired at time of survey.	>40	B1
1084	Goat willow	6.5	4	7	6.5	5	5 1 W	1.75	-	1 372					М	G	Single-stemmed at ground level. Stout butt. Normal hammer taps. No fungal fruiting bodies observed. Bole 2m. Primary limb E damaged. Other stem, principal unions and primary limbs appear to be in reasonable structural condition. Grove of suckering stems to E (dia=125mm). Reasonable contribution to landscape.	No action reqired at time of survey.	20+	B1



Ref. No.	Species	Ht.		Crown	Sprea	<b>d</b> (m)		Ht. 1 <sup>st</sup> Br.	Ht. Can.	Stem Count		Stem	n Dia. (I	mm)		Life Stage	Phys. Condition	Structural condition & Notes	Management recommendations	Ret. Span	QV Grade
		(m)	N	s	۷	v	E	(m)	(m)		1/ mean	2	3	4	5						
1085	Hawthorn	6	1.	5 1	.5	2	2	2 E	2	1	150					EM	G	Unremarkable. Low merit.	No action reqired at time of survey.	20+	C1
1086	Pedunculate oak	10.5		6	8	7	7	2.5 SW	1.75	1	685					М	G	Single-stemmed at ground level. Normal hammer taps. No fungal fruiting bodies observed. Stem, principal unions and primary limbs appear to be in good structural condition. Occaisional significant deadwood within normal range. No significant defects. Attractive tree. Definite landscape asset. Lacks special qualities of Category A.	No action reqired at time of survey.	20+	B1
1087	Ash	10	3.	5	5	5	5.5	i 1.5 S	2.5	4	337	185	143	195		OM	F	Overstood, layered boundary coppice. Superficial basal decay. Normal hammer taps. No fungal fruiting bodies observed. Minor deadwood. No symptoms consistent with Ash Dieback Disease observed. Retention span could be maximised with sympathetic management. Of some historic significance.	No action reqired at time of survey.	20+	B1,3
1088	Sessile oak	12		8	9	8	7.5	3 NW	3	1	570					М	G	Single-stemmed at ground level. Normal hammer taps. No fungal fruiting bodies observed. Bifurcation at 2.5m with included bark union with stable geometry. Other stem, principal unions and primary limbs appear to be in good structural condition. Crown full and healthy. Infrequent minor deadwood. No significant defects. Potential to become Category A tree in future. Currently lacks special qualities of Category A. Overhead wire through E crown.	No action reqired at time of survey.	>40	B1
1089	Ash	11		4	3	7	1		4	1	550					М	Р	Off-site. Stem and principal unions obscured by HR1040 to 4m. Inspected from 5m E. Partial inspection only. Extensive dieback with loss of several large limbs. Brackets of saprophytic fungus Daldinia concentrica. Overhead wire below.	Remove dead limbs to E prior to commencement.	<10	U
1090	Ash	13		6	7	8	5.5	i 1.5 E		5	305	185	183	230	100	ом	G	Overstood layered boundary coppice. Normal hammer taps. No fungal fruiting bodies observed. Minor deadwood. No symptoms consistent with Ash Dieback Disease observed. Retention span could be maximised with sympathetic management. Of some historic significance. Overhead wire through E crown.	No action reqired at time of survey.	20+	B1,3
1091	Pedunculate oak	13		6	8	8	6.5	5 2 W	2	1	680					M	G	Single-stemmed at ground level. Arises 45° SE, then sweeps upright by 2m. Normal hammer taps. No fungal fruiting bodies observed. Stem, principal unions and primary limbs appear to be in good structural condition. Drawn up form. Overhead wire and pole immediately adjacent. Frequent significant deadwood. Crown full and healthy. Attractive tree. Definite landscape asset. Clearly visible from public highway. Lacks special qualities of Category A.	No action reqired at time of survey.	>40	B1



#### Table 3.2.: Tree survey data schedule for tree groups

Ref. No.	Species	Tree Count	Ht.	MRC	Ht. 1 <sup>st</sup> Br.	Ht. Can.	Specimen Stem Dia.	Life Stage	Phys. Condition	Structural condition & Notes	Management recommendations	Ret. Span	QV Grade
			(m)	(m)	(m)	(m)	(mm)					<10, 10+ 20+, >40	U-A-B-C
TG1001	Ash	2	12.4	-	3 5 NE	6	240	EM	F	Unremarkable. Off-site on boundary line. Wire fence partially engulfed in lower stem. S tree of pair consists of multi-stemmed upright regrowth from old decayed stump, heavily pruned. Reasonable structural condition but long term retention in doubt. No symptoms consistent with Ash Dieback Disease observed.	No action required at time of survey.	10+	C1
TG1002	Ash	3	16.5		5 3 S	2.5	350	м	G	Within WG1001. Off-site. Behind fence. Dense vegetation obscured stem and primary unions to 10m. Inspected from 2m S. Partial inspection only. Stands on steep stream bank. Appears to be in good structural condition. No significant defects. Lacks special qualities of Category A.	No action required at time of survey.	20+	B1
TG1003	Hazel	3	3.5		2 0	0.5	150	EM	G	Isolated section of hedge plants. Unremarkable. No significant defects.	No action required at time of survey.	20+	C1
TG1004	Ash	3	10		3 2.5 NE	2	235	EM	G	Group within HR1007. Moderate density ivy obscured stem and principal unions to 7m. Partial inspection only. Unremarkable. Visible stem, principal unions and primary limbs in reasonable structural condition. No symptoms consistent with Ash Dieback Disease observed.	No action required at time of survey.	20+	B1
TG1005	Ash x 4, Field maple x 1	4	10	)	3 2.5 N	1.5	200	EM	G	Group within HR1007. Moderate density ivy obscured stem and principal unions to 7m. Partial inspection only. Unremarkable. Visible stem, principal unions and primary limbs in reasonable structural condition. No symptoms consistent with Ash Dieback Disease observed.	No action required at time of survey.	20+	B1
TG1006	Ash	12	12		5 2	2 4	685	М	G	Co-located with HR1016. Overstood, layered boundary coppice outgrown into trees. Most stools affected by basal decay to varying extents. Occaisional stems heavily decayed. Otherwise stem, principal unions and primary limbs in reasonable structural condition. Crown full and healthy. No symptoms consistent with Ash Dieback Disease observed. Interesting group of historic interest and form but lacks special qualities of Category A. Retention span could be maximised with sympathetic management.	No action required at time of survey.	20+	B3
TG1007	Field maple	3	15		5 1.5	5 2	465	М	G	Overstood, layered boundary coppice outgrown into trees. Most stools affected by basal decay to varying extents. Otherwise stem, principal unions and primary limbs in reasonable structural condition. Crown full and healthy. Interesting group of historic interest and form but lacks special qualities of Category A. Retention span could be maximised with sympathetic management. Together with other trees in vicinity forms interesting natural feature.	No action required at time of survey.	20+	B3



Ref. No.	Species	Tree Count	Ht.	MRCS	Ht. 1 <sup>st</sup> Br.	Ht. Can.	Specimen Stem Dia.	Life Stage	Phys. Condition	Structural condition & Notes	Management recommendations	Ret. Span	QV Grade
			(m)	(m)	(m)	(m)	(mm)					<10, 10+ 20+, >40	U-A-B-C
TG1008	Ash	2	17	,	5 4	ι 6	463	M	G	Co-located with TG1010. Pair of twin-stemmed specimens. Bifurcation at ground level, W tree of pair with included bark union. Otherwise stem, principal unions and primary limbs in reasonable structural condition. Frequent significant deadwood. Crown full and healthy. No symptoms consistent with Ash Dieback Disease observed. Retention span could be maximised with sympathetic management. Together with other trees in vicinity forms interesting natural feature.	No action required at time of survey.	20+	B1
TG1009	Ash	4	17	,	5 1.5	5 2	420	M	G	Co-located with TG1010. Overstood, layered boundary coppice outgrown into trees. Stem, principal unions and primary limbs in reasonable structural condition. Crown full and healthy. No symptoms consistent with Ash Dieback Disease observed. Interesting group of historic interest and form but lacks special qualities of Category A. Retention span could be maximised with sympathetic management. Together with other trees in vicinity forms interesting natural feature.	No action required at time of survey.	20+	B3
TG1010	Hazel, hawthorn, field maple, field elm	30	10	)	4 0.5	5 1	. 350	M	G	Co-located with TG1008 & 1009. Form suggests former hedgerow planting now outgrown into trees. Stem, principal unions and primary limbs in reasonable structural condition. Frequent significant deadwood. Retention span could be maximised with sympathetic management. Together with other trees in vicinity forms interesting natural feature.	No action required at time of survey.	20+	B2
TG1011	Ash	6	16		5 1.5	5 2	480	M	G	Co-located with TG1014. Overstood, layered boundary coppice outgrown into trees. Basal decay affecting several trees. Normal hammer taps. No fungal fruiting bodies observed. Otherwise stem, principal unions and primary limbs in reasonable structural condition. Crown full and healthy. No symptoms consistent with Ash Dieback Disease observed. Interesting group of historic interest and form but lacks special qualities of Category A. Retention span could be maximised with sympathetic management. Together with other trees in vicinity forms interesting natural feature.	No action required at time of survey.	20+	B3
TG1012	Ash	2	16	;	3 5 NE	2	600	D M	G	Co-located with TG1014. Overstood, layered boundary coppice outgrown into trees. Normal hammer taps. No fungal fruiting bodies observed. Both with major included bark union at 2m. Otherwise stem, principal unions and primary limbs in reasonable structural condition. Crown full and healthy. No symptoms consistent with Ash Dieback Disease observed. Interesting group of historic interest and form but lacks special qualities of Category A. Retention span could be maximised with sympathetic management. Together with other trees in vicinity forms interesting natural feature.	No action required at time of survey.	20+	B3



Ref. No.	Species	Tree Count	Ht.	MRCS	Ht. 1 <sup>st</sup> Br.	Ht. Can.	Specimen Stem Dia.	Life Stage	Phys. Condition	Structural condition & Notes	Management recommendations	Ret. Span	QV Grade
			(m)	(m)	(m)	(m)	(mm)					<10, 10+ 20+, >40	U-A-B-C
TG1013	Ash	3	16	6.5	5 3 SW	1.5	550	M	G	Co-located with TG1014. Overstood, layered boundary coppice outgrown into trees. Normal hammer taps. No fungal fruiting bodies observed. Stem, principal unions and primary limbs in reasonable structural condition. Crown full and healthy. No symptoms consistent with Ash Dieback Disease observed. Interesting group of historic interest and form but lacks special qualities of Category A. Retention span could be maximised with sympathetic management. Together with other trees in vicinity forms interesting natural feature.	No action required at time of survey.	20+	B3
TG1014	Hawthorn, hazel, field maple	26	8	3.5	5 0.5	1	350	м	G	Co-located with TG1011 - 1013. Form suggests former hedgerow planting now outgrown into trees. Stem, principal unions and primary limbs in reasonable structural condition. Frequent significant deadwood. Retention span could be maximised with sympathetic management. Together with other trees in vicinity forms interesting natural feature.	No action required at time of survey.	20+	B2
TG1015	Ash	2	14	5	5 2.5 SW	2	550	М	F	Off-site. Partial inspection only. Overstood, layered boundary coppice outgrown into trees. Extensive basal decay. No fungal fruiting bodies observed. Otherwise stem, principal unions and primary limbs in reasonable structural condition. Crown full and healthy. No symptoms consistent with Ash Dieback Disease observed. Interesting group of historic interest and form but lacks special qualities of Category A. Retention span could be maximised with sympathetic management. Together with other trees in vicinity forms interesting natural feature.	No action required at time of survey.	10+	C3
TG1016	Ash	2	14	7	7 2.5	5	795	ОМ	р	Overstood boundary coppice outgrown into trees. Normal hammer taps. No fungal fruiting bodies observed. Otherwise stem, principal unions and primary limbs in reasonable structural condition. Crown light. Symptoms of Ash Dieback Diesease present. E tree of pair with apical dieback and epicormic growth. Long term retention in doubt.	No action required at time of survey.	<10	U
TG1017	Gean	2	8	5	5 1.5	2	214	EM	G	Pair of unremarkable trees. Degraded structural condition due to crossing, fractured and cracked limbs and bark damage.	No action required at time of survey.	10+	C1
TG1018	Ash	6	20	e	5 3.5 W	2	398	М	Р	Poor form. Stems generally in reasonable structural condition. Crown in poor health with advanced dieback. Lack of hollowing out and epicormic growth associated with Ash Dieback Diesease. Poor root function suspected. Signs of heavy livestock foot traffic below.	No action required at time of survey.	<10	U
TG1019	Silver birch	4	7.5	4	4 3 S	2	148	EM	F	Unremarkable ornamental planting. Generally in poor form. Growth poor. Stems inclined in various directions. Low merit.	No action required at time of survey.	10+	C1
TG1020	Hawthorn	4	6	4	l 0.5	1	250	М	G	Understorey to TG1018. Unremarkable.	No action required at time of survey.	20+	B1
TG1021	Hazel, holly x 2	3	7.5	3	8 0.5	0.25	285	M	G	Understorey to T1058. Unremarkable.	No action required at time of survey.	20+	B1



Ref. No.	Species	Tree Count	Ht.	MRCS	Ht. 1 <sup>st</sup> Br.	Ht. Can.	Specimen Stem Dia.	Life Stage	Phys. Condition	Structural condition & Notes	Management recommendations	Ret. Span	QV Grade
			(m)	(m)	(m)	(m)	(mm)					<10, 10+ 20+, >40	U-A-B-C
TG1022	Sessile oak	2	20	) 1	1 2 S	1.5	840	M	G	Behind barbed wire fence. Inspected from 4m E. Partial inspection only. Trees form aerodynamic pair. S tree of pair heavily biased to S with evidence of stem decay and natural brace at 6m. Otherwise stem, principal unions and primary limbs in reasonable structural condition. N tree stem, principal unions and primary limbs in good structural condition. Infrequent significant deadwood. Crown full and healthy. No fungal fruiting bodies observed. Normal hammer taps.	No action required at time of survey.	20+	B1
TG1023	Goat willow	3	8	3	5 0.5	5 C	525	М	G	Adjacent to waterlogged area. Multi-stemmed. Several damaged, decayed stems. Appropriate natural feature for setting. Low merit.	No action required at time of survey.	20+	C1
TG1024	Goat willow	11	8	3	3 0.5	5 C	250	М	G	Adjacent to waterlogged area. Unremarkable. Appropriate natural feature for setting. Low merit.	No action required at time of survey.	20+	C1
TG1025	Hazel	3	7	7	3 (	) C	350	М	G	Unremarkable. Low merit.	No action required at time of survey.	20+	C1
TG1026	Alder	4	18	3	5 1.25	5 1.5	625	М	G	Group of four large trees surrounding waterlogged area at intersection of ditch network. Single-stemmed at ground level except specimen next to gateway which is three-stemmed with engulfed wire fence on lower stem. W specimen inclined 30° E, possibly partially windthrown but now stabilised. Stem, principal unions and primary limbs in reasonable structural condition. Crown full and healthy. Minor deadwood. Significant landscape feature, appropriate to setting.	No action required at time of survey.	>40	B1,2
TG1027	Alder, elder, goat willow	6	7	7	3 (	) C	200	EM	G	Unremarkable group in riperian zone and forming understorey to high canopy trees. Cover and food source. Low merit.	No action required at time of survey.	20+	C2
TG1028	Hazel, hawthorn	2	7	2.	5 (	) 3	190	М	G	Unremarkable. Low merit.	No action required at time of survey.	20+	C1
TG1029	Ash	2	10	)	5 2	2 3	475	ОМ	F	Overstood, layered boundary coppice. Superficial basal decay. Normal hammer taps. No fungal fruiting bodies observed. Minor deadwood. No symptoms consistent with Ash Dieback Disease observed. Retention span could be maximised with sympathetic management. Of some historic significance.	No action required at time of survey.	20+	B1,3
TG1030	Ash x 2, Pedunculate oak	3	12	2	1 2	2 3	330	EM	G	Unremarkable. Oak suppressed by ash. Ash stem damaged at 6m N. Frequent minor deadwood. Requires thinning if group to be retained. Overhead wire and pole immediately adjacent. Minor contribution to landscape.	No action required at time of survey.	20+	B1



#### Table 3.1: Tree survey data schedule for woodland groups

Ref. No.	Species	Area	Ht.	MRCS	Ht. 1 <sup>st</sup> Br.	Ht. Can.	Specimen Stem Dia.	Specimen RPA Rad.	Specimen RPA Area	Life Stage	Phys. Condition	Structural condition & Notes	Management recommendations	Ret. Span	QV Grade
		(m²)	(m)	(m)	(m)	(m)	(mm)	(m)	(m2)						
WG1001	Ash 5%, hazel 35%, goat willow 10%, alder 5%, elder 1%, hawthorn 43%, gean 1%, wych elm 1%, field maple 1%, blackthorn 3%, white willow 1%	2889	10	4	. 0	C	) 350	4.20	55	М	G	Species-rich riperian woodland behind wire mesh fence and believed to be off-site. Trees on S bank of watercourse considered. Considerable value as food source, habitat and wildlife corridor. Trees of moderate value individually but combine to create high value feature. Branches generally encroach little into development area.	No action required at time of survey.	>40	B2
WG1002	Alder 55%, white willow 5%, hazel 15%, hawthorn 15%, elder 10%	2481	18	6	1.5	2	2 1050	12.60	499	М	G	Riperian woodland on banks of pond / waterlogged area / stream. Trees on S bank of watercourse considered. Alders multi-stemmed and appear to be overstood coppice. S stems removed recently resulting in downgrade from Category A. Diameter at breast height recorded for alder stools, others considerably smaller - RPA dominated by alders. Other species form understorey to high canopy trees. Considerable value as habitat and wildlife corridor. Trees of moderate value individually but combine to create high value feature. Branches generally encroach little into development area.	No action required at time of survey.	>40	B2
WG1003	Ash 10%, elder50%, hawthorn 15%, hazel 25%.	1080	6	4	. 0	1	1 350	4.20	55	м	F	Scrub woodland on steep bank to NW of pond. Individual edge constraints recorded as individual trees. Bank extensively burrowed by rabbits. Grey squirrels observed. Trees unremarkable in own right but together with hedges and other woodland forms an attractive feature.	No action required at time of survey.	20+	B2
WG1004	Hazel 20%, hawthorn 20%, field maple 20%, gean 20%, ash 20%.	2345	12	4	0.5	1	400	4.80	72	М	F	Linear woodland group on either side of green lane consisting of under- and mid- storey trees. High canopy trees recorded individually as edge constraints. Generally unremarkable. Forms attractive natural and historical feature.	No action required at time of survey.	20+	B2, 3



#### Table 3.4: Tree survey data schedule for hedgerows

Ref. No.	Species	<b>Ht.</b> (m)	Mean Width (m)	Length (m)	Mean Stem Dia. (mm)	Life Stage	Phys. Condition	Structural condition & Notes	Management recommendations	Ret. Span	QV Grade
HR1001	Hazel 87%, ash 1%, hawthorn 5%, dog rose, dogwood 1%, blackthorn 5%, goat willow 1%, bramble.	4	2.5	68	150	М	F	Field boundary hedgerow between post-and-rail and wire mesh fences. Stands on 0.5m earth bank. E facing side heavily pruned. Continuous to NE but becoming more discontinuous to SW. Hazel specimens at NW adjacent to TG1001 taller (H=6m). Rabbit warrens noted in bank. May be important hedgerow under Hedgerow Regulations (1997).	No action required at time of survey.	20+	C2
HR1002	Hawthorn, ash x 1	5	4	135	295	OM	G	Field boundary. Specimens stand below high canopy trees 1006-1009. Old multi-stemmed plants typical for species. Aged specimens, some originating from old decayed stumps. Becoming more discontinuous to W before ending near to tree 1005.	No action required at time of survey.	20+	B2
HR1003	Hawthorn 82%, hazel 10%, bramble, goat willow 1%, ash 1%, dog rose, dogwood 2%, damson 2%, elder 2%	3.5	2.5	220	250	М	G	Field boundary hedgerow. Stands on 0.5m earth bank. Sides pruned. Otherwise unremarkable and in reasonable structural condition. Rabbit warrens noted in bank. May be important hedgerow under Hedgerow Regulations (1997).	No action required at time of survey.	20+	B2
HR1004	Hawthorn 25%, hazel 25%, elder 2%, field maple 25%, blackthorn 10%, dogwood 10%, goat willow 2%, ash 1%	3	2	230	150	EM	G	Field boundary hedgerow. Stands on 0.5m earth bank. Sides pruned. Otherwise unremarkable and in reasonable structural condition. Rabbit warrens noted in bank. May be important hedgerow under Hedgerow Regulations (1997).	No action required at time of survey.	20+	C2
HR1005	Hawthorn 40%, elder 7%, bramble 5%, hazel 40%, pedunculate oak 1%, dogwood 5%, wych elm 2%	2	3	144	. 150	EM	G	Field boundary hedgerow. Stands on 0.5m earth bank. Unremarkable and in reasonable structural condition. Ditch adjacent. May be important hedgerow under Hedgerow Regulations (1997).	No action required at time of survey.	20+	C2
HR1006	Hawthorn 43%, elder 5%, field maple 5%, dog rose, holly 1%, blackthorn 43%, sycamore 1%, ash 1%, pedunculate oak 1%	2.5	3.5	170	175	М	G	Field boundary hedgerow. Stands on 0.5m earth bank. Unremarkable and in reasonable structural condition. Ditch adjacent. May be important hedgerow under Hedgerow Regulations (1997).	No action required at time of survey.	20+	B2
HR1007	Hawthorn 43%, hazel 50%, ash 1%, field maple 5%, dog rose, sycamore 1%	7	6	85	250	М	G	Field boundary hedgerow. Stands on 0.5m earth bank. Unremarkable and in reasonable structural condition. Ditch adjacent. May be important hedgerow under Hedgerow Regulations (1997).	No action required at time of survey.	20+	B2
HR1008	Hawthorn 70%, holly 2%, spindle 3%, elder 1%, dog rose, field maple 20%, goat willow 3%, sycamore 1%, bramble	7	6	60	250	М	G	Margin of off-site wooded area. Appears to be in reasonable structural condition. May be important hedgerow under Hedgerow Regulations (1997).	No action required at time of survey.	20+	B2



Ref. No.	Species	Ht.	Mean Width	Length	Mean Stem Dia.	Life Stage	Phys. Condition	Structural condition & Notes	Management recommendations	Ret. Span	QV Grade
		(m)	(m)	(m)	(mm)						
HR1009	Field maple 5%, holly 1%, elder 2%, hawthorn 80%, pedunculate oak 5%, bramble, goat willow 1%, dogwood 5%, ash 1%	3.5	3	3 141	. 200	М	G	Field boundary hedgerow. Stands on 0.5m earth bank. Unremarkable and in reasonable structural condition. Ditch adjacent. May be important hedgerow under Hedgerow Regulations (1997). Rabbit burrows noted below.	No action required at time of survey.	20+	B2
HR1010	Hawthorn 70%, holly 2%, spindle 3%, elder 1%, dog rose, field maple 20%, goat willow 3%, sycamore 1%, bramble	7	6	5 55	250	М	G	Species-rich riperian hedgerow. Trees on S bank of watercourse considered. Appear to be in reasonable structural condition. May be important hedgerow under Hedgerow Regulations (1997).	No action required at time of survey.	20+	B2
HR1011	Hawthorn 50%, hazel 40%, ash 10%	8	4	1 22	280	М	G	Old growth hedgerow consisting of established regrowth from coppiced and layered stools. Trimmed sides with occaisional significant deadwood. Stands on 1m earth bank. Ash specimens close to growing out as individual trees.	No action required at time of survey.	20+	B2
HR1012	Hazel 50%, holly 3%, bramble, hawthorn 30%, elder 2.5%, dogwood 10%, field maple 1%, wych elm 1%, blackthorn 2.5%, honeysuckle	3.5	3	3 105	180	М	G	Field boundary hedgerow between post-and-rail and wire mesh fences. Stands on 0.5m earth bank. E facing side trimmed. May be important hedgerow under Hedgerow Regulations (1997).	No action required at time of survey.	20+	B2
HR1013	Hazel 60%, hawthorn 20%, bramble, dog rose, dogwood 5%, wych elm 5%, blackthorn 5%, field maple 5%	4	. 4	155	250	М	G	Field boundary hedgerow. E / SE facing side trimmed. May be important hedgerow under Hedgerow Regulations (1997). Comprising old stools for 30m S of 1028 but more recent plants elsewhere. Off-site WG behind fence comprises gean and ash of diameter c. 180mm, RPA outside development area (not recorded separately).	No action required at time of survey.	20+	B2
HR1014	Hazel 73%, hawthorn 20%, bramble, dog rose, holly 2%, elder 2%, field maple 2%, blackthorn 1%	4	4	245	250	М	G	Field boundary hedgerow. E / SE facing side trimmed. May be important hedgerow under Hedgerow Regulations (1997). Comprising established coppice stools. Off-site WG behind fence comprises gean and ash of diameter c. 220 and 205mm, RPA outside development area (not recorded separately).	No action required at time of survey.	20+	B2
HR1015	Hazel	10	5	5 15	350	М	G	Continuation of HR1014. Plants apparently not recently cut resulting in overstood coppice of tree height. Unremarkable but would benefit from recoppicing.	No action required at time of survey.	20+	B2
HR1016	Hazel 35%, hawthorn 30%, field maple 35%, dog rose, bramble	5	3	3 75	200	М	G	Co-located with TG1006. Forms understorey to high canopy trees. Unremarkable.	No action required at time of survey.	20+	B2
HR1017	Hazel 40%, blackthorn 40%, hawthorn 20%	1.5	1	35	100	EM	G	Recently planted hedge.	No action required at time of survey.	>40	C2



Ref. No.	Species	Ht.	Mean Width	Length	Mean Stem Dia.	Life Stage	Phys. Condition	Structural condition & Notes	Management recommendations	Ret. Span	QV Grade
		(m)	(m)	(m)	(mm)						
HR1018	Hazel 30%, blackthorn 30%, dogwood 30%, hawthorn 4%, field maple 3%, holly 3%, dog rose, bramble	3	4	225	250	М	G	Field boundary hedgerow. E / SE facing side trimmed. May be important hedgerow under Hedgerow Regulations (1997). Comprising established coppice stools. Rabbit burrows nored beneath.	No action required at time of survey.	20+	B2
HR1019	Hawthorn 60%, hazel 9%, blackthorn 30%, field maple 1%	7	5	70	340	м	G	Partially off-site. Hawthorns allowed to grow out into trees. Unremarkable. Of some ecological value. Attractive natural feature.	No action required at time of survey.	20+	B2
HR1020	Hawthorn 30%, wych elm 9%, hazel 60%, field maple 1%	8	10	80	350	OM	G	Partial double row on either side of old green lane. Specimens (except elm) comprise aged coppice stools, some cut to retain individual stems as sap risers, others uncut. Primary structure generally in satisfactory condition. Crowns healthy.	No action required at time of survey.	20+	B2
HR1021	Hawthorn 50%, hazel 50%	8	6	100e	350	M	G	Old growth hedgerow consisting of established regrowth from coppiced stools. Outgrown into trees with occaisional significant deadwood. Large spaces with bramble between plants.	No action required at time of survey.	20+	В2
HR1022	Hawthorn 25%, hazel 40%, dog rose, goat willow 2%, ash 2%, bramble, blackthorn 30%, sycamore 1%	4	4	50	250	М	G	Field boundary hedgerow. May be important hedgerow under Hedgerow Regulations (1997). Comprising established coppice stools. Rabbit burrows nored beneath.	No action required at time of survey.	20+	B2
HR1023	Hazel 55%, hawthorn 20%, bramble, wych elm 2.5%, blackthorn 5%, dogwood 5%, dog rose, field maple 5%, goat willow 2.5%, sycamore 2.5%, ash 2.5%	4	. 3	210	350	М	G	Field boundary hedgerow. May be important hedgerow under Hedgerow Regulations (1997). Comprising established coppice stools. Rabbit burrows and ditch noted beneath.	No action required at time of survey.	20+	B2
HR1024	Hawthorn 85%, blackthorn 15%	3	2.5	87	100	EM	G	Field boundary hedgerow. Widely spaced specimens. Low merit.	No action required at time of survey.	>40	C1
HR1025	Bramble 97.5%, goat willow 2.5%	2.5	4	51	100	М	G	Field boundary hedgerow. Low merit.	No action required at time of survey.	20+	C2
HR1026	Hazel 70%, hawthorn 5%, wych elm 25%	8	6	48	580	М	G	Old growth hedgerow consisting of established regrowth from coppiced stools. Outgrown into trees with occaisional significant deadwood. Significant group of medium-size Elm.	No action required at time of survey.	20+	B2
HR1027	Hazel 50%, hawthorn 2.5%, field maple 2.5%, honeysuckle, dog rose, elder 2.5%, field elm 2.5%, blackthorn 40%	4	4	210	250	М	G	Field boundary hedgerow. May be important hedgerow under Hedgerow Regulations (1997). Comprising established coppice stools. Rabbit burrows nored beneath. Specimen at E end taller than is typical for group (H=7m).	No action required at time of survey.	20+	B2



Ref. No.	Species	Ht.	Mean Width	Length	Mean Stem Dia.	Life Stage	Phys. Condition	Structural condition & Notes	Management recommendations	Ret. Span	QV Grade
		(m)	(m)	(m)	(mm)						
HR1028	Hazel 55%, hawthorn 22.5%, bramble, wych elm 2.5%, blackthorn 10%, dogwood 2.5%, dog rose, field maple 2.5%, sycamore 2.5%, ash 2.5%	4	4	160	) 250	М	G	Field boundary hedgerow. May be important hedgerow under Hedgerow Regulations (1997). Comprising established coppice stools. Rabbit burrows nored beneath.	No action required at time of survey.	20+	B2
HR1029	Hawthorn, ash, hazel	8	5	20	) 275	М	G	Old growth hedgerow consisting of established regrowth from coppiced stools. Outgrown into trees with occaisional significant deadwood.	No action required at time of survey.	20+	B2
HR1030	Hawthorn 20%, field maple 50%, blackthorn 20%, Hazel 2.5%, oak 2.5%, ash 2.5%, bramble, dog rose, goat willow 2.5%	4	4	200	250	М	G	Field boundary hedgerow. May be important hedgerow under Hedgerow Regulations (1997). Comprising established coppice stools. Rabbit burrows nored beneath.	No action required at time of survey.	20+	B2
HR1031	Hawthorn 20%, field maple 50%, blackthorn 20%, Hazel 2.5%, oak 2.5%, ash 2.5%, bramble, dog rose, goat willow 2.5%	4	4	124	4 250	М	G	Field boundary hedgerow. May be important hedgerow under Hedgerow Regulations (1997). Comprising established coppice stools. Rabbit burrows noted beneath.	No action required at time of survey.	20+	B2
HR1032	Hawthorn 45%, blackthorn 45%, goat willow 7.5%, dog rose, elder 2.5%	3	3	52	2 250	М	G	Field boundary hedgerow. May be important hedgerow under Hedgerow Regulations (1997). Comprising established coppice stools. Ditch immediately adjacent.	No action required at time of survey.	20+	B2
HR1033	Hazel 40%, blackthorn 40%, hawthorn 10%, dogwood 10%	8	5	31	350	М	G	Old growth hedgerow consisting of established regrowth from coppiced stools. Outgrown into trees with occaisional significant deadwood. May be off site.	No action required at time of survey.	20+	B2
HR1034	Hazel 40%, blackthorn 40%, elder 10%, dogwood 10%	3	2.5	81	250	М	G	Field boundary hedgerow. May be important hedgerow under Hedgerow Regulations (1997). Comprising established coppice stools. Ditch immediately adjacent. May be off-site.	No action required at time of survey.	20+	B2
HR1035	Blackthorn, hazel, hawthorn	2	2	185	5 150	EM	G	Field boundary hedgerow. Comprising established coppice stools but relatively young. Heavily cut back on N side to accomodate fence.	No action required at time of survey.	>40	C2
HR1036	Hawthorn 20%, field maple 50%, blackthorn 20%, Hazel 2.5%, oak 2.5%, ash 2.5%, bramble, dog rose, goat willow 2.5%	4	4	110	250	М	G	Field boundary hedgerow. May be important hedgerow under Hedgerow Regulations (1997). Comprising established coppice stools. Rabbit burrows noted beneath.	No action required at time of survey.	20+	B2
HR1037	Hazel 50%, hawthorn 40%, elder 5%, field maple 2.5%, blackthorn 2.5%	4	4	60	) 250	М	G	Field boundary hedgerow. May be important hedgerow under Hedgerow Regulations (1997). Comprising established coppice stools.	No action required at time of survey.	20+	B2



Ref. No.	Species	Ht.	Mean Width	Length	Mean Stem Dia.	Life Stage	Phys. Condition	Structural condition & Notes	Management recommendations	Ret. Span	QV Grade
		(m)	(m)	(m)	(mm)						
HR1038	Blackthorn 70%, hazel 2.5%, hawthorn 15%, field maple 2.5%, goat willow 2.5%, ash 2.5%, elder 2.5%, oak 2.5%, bramble, dog rose	4	4	310	250	М	G	Field boundary hedgerow. May be important hedgerow under Hedgerow Regulations (1997). Comprising established coppice stools. Rabbit burrows noted beneath.	No action required at time of survey.	20+	B2
HR1039	Blackthorn 40%, hawthorn 30%, elder 2.5%, bramble, ash 1%, pedunculate oak 1%, hazel 10%, dog rose, field maple 10%, wych elm 1%, dogwood 1%, hazel 1%, goat willow 2.5%, honeysuckle.	4	4	350	350	М	G	Field boundary hedgerow. May be important hedgerow under Hedgerow Regulations (1997). Comprising established coppice stools. Rabbit burrows noted beneath.	No action required at time of survey.	20+	B2
HR1040	Hazel 25%, holly, hawthorn 25%, dog rose, field maple 5%, bramble, elder 4%, blackthorn 40%, sycamore 1%	5	3	164	350	М	G	Field boundary hedgerow. May be important hedgerow under Hedgerow Regulations (1997). Comprising established coppice stools. Rabbit burrows noted beneath.	No action required at time of survey.	20+	B2
HR1041	Hazel 25%, hawthorn 20%, dog rose, field maple 15%, bramble, elder 2.5%, blackthorn 30%, dogwood 2.5%, white willow 2.5%, sessile oak 2.5%	3	4	125	250	М	G	Field boundary hedgerow. May be important hedgerow under Hedgerow Regulations (1997). Comprising established coppice stools.	No action required at time of survey.	20+	B2





## **PRELIMINARY ADVICE**

- 10.28. The following comments are intended to draw attention to key aspects of the trees and hedgerows present on the Proposed Development Site and matters to consider in formulating the final design of the site.
- 10.29. The survey area considered currently contains trees and tree groups as follows:

Veteran trees (Category A*)	4 (trees 1004, 1005, 1070, 1071)
Notable trees (Category A*)	7 (trees 1016, 1024, 1055, 1069, 1074, 1075, 1077)
Category A trees (including A* specimens)	17 (7%)
Category B trees	160 (63%)
Category C trees	56 (22%)
Category U trees	20 (8%)

#### Table 10A.2: Trees and Groups on site

#### Hazard trees

10.30. Gean tree 1064, adjacent to the access road to Upper Court, is in an unsatisfactory condition and presents a foreseeable risk of collapse onto the road. This tree should be removed within 13 weeks.

#### Hedgerows

10.31. The survey area contains a total of 4963m of hedgerows. The majority of these contain a diverse range of woody species in association with ditches, banks, woodlands, water features, other connected hedgerows and a wide range of ground flora. Consequently, these may constitute '*Important Hedgerows*' as defined under the Hedgerow Regulations 1997.

#### Woodland groups

10.32. The survey area contains a total of 8795m<sup>2</sup> of woodland with many significant trees present, however these lie outside of the Proposed Development area.



#### Veteran trees

- 10.33. A number of trees display features and a size consistent with veteran status as assessed using the RAVEN system. Such trees are recognised as being of particular value due to their age, size and ecological value. Such trees are particularly sensitive to changes in their environment.
- 10.34. Standing advice issued by the Forestry Commission and Natural England (2018) recommends that the root protection area (RPA) surrounding veteran trees should be calculated as a circle of radius equal to 15 x stem diameter. This has been applied to the relevant RPAs shown on **Figure 10A.1 of Appendix 10A.1**. Particular care must be taken to ensure that the Proposed Development does not encroach into veteran tree RPAs, either due to siting of the solar array or to construction space requirements. If encroachment cannot be avoided, particular engineering and tree protection measures will be needed to prevent long term damage to these specimens.
- 10.35. Of particular note is Pedunculate oak tree 1070 which is a veteran pollard. Trees of this type are ecologically and historically important and are likely to be particularly aged.

#### Notable trees

- 10.36. A number of trees are of a particularly large size for the species and are thus of considerable importance. Trees of this type may become veteran trees in the future. The RPA formula specified in BS5837:2012 has been applied to these trees on **Figure 10A.1**.
- 10.37. Of particular note is beech tree 1024 which is a particularly large example of the species.

#### **Other Matters**

- 10.38. Other matters of relevance to this project include (but are not limited to:
  - Shade arcs
  - Access facilitation pruning
  - Conflicts with trees requiring tree removal
  - Felling licence requirement
  - RPA incursions
  - Reflected light onto sensitive trees
  - Solar panel ground fixing methods.
  - Use of concrete in or near RPAs
  - Cable transits / trenching



#### Technical Appendix 10A: Tree Constraints

- Position of new pylons / cable poles
- Access routes
- Size and nature of machinery required
- Materials storage
- Welfare facilities / site offices
- Waste handling
- Seasonal effects
- Ground protection
- Tree protection fencing
- Construction exclusion zones
- Other tree protection measures
- Mitigation measures
- Supervision / monitoring
- 10.39. These matters can be considered and resolved within **Technical Appendix 10B: Arboricultural** Impact Assessment of Volume 3: Technical Appendices.



# SURVEY KEY

#### Tree numbering

- 10.40. Trees are generally numbered consecutively starting at T1001.
- 10.41. In line with the advice of BS5837:2012, where trees occur as a cohesive group feature (prefixed G for tree group or W for woodland group), they are assessed as such.
- 10.42. TG / WG outlines follow the mapping base (typically either topographical survey or georectified aerial imagery)
- 10.43. Hedgerows (rural) are recorded prefixed HR and possibly fall within the provisions of the Hedgerows Regulations 1997
- 10.44. All numbering starts from x1001 for each type of vegetation. Thus:

#### Table 10A.3: Numbering

1001	Individual Tree
TG1001	Tree Group
WG1001	Woodland Group
HR1001	Rural Hedgerow

10.45. Any trees omitted from the topographic survey are listed on the referenced plan, though their positions are only shown indicatively. Off-site trees are included where deemed relevant, though their positions are also shown indicatively if omitted from the topographic survey.

#### Species

10.46. Tree species as listed in the schedule by common name. Species present are detailed in Table10A.4 below:

#### Table 10A.4: Tree Species

Common name	Botanical name	
Alder	Alnus glutinosa	Native
Apple	Malnus domestica	Native
Ash	Fraxinus excelsior	Native
Beech	Fagus sylvatica	Native



Blackthorn	Prunus spinosa	Native
Crack Willow	Salix fragilis	Native
Damson	Prunus domestica subsp. insititia	Native
Dogwood	Cornus officinalis	Native
Elder	Sambucus nigra	Native
Elm	Ulmus procera	Native
Field Maple	Acer campestre	Native
Gean	Prunus avium	Native
Goat Willow	Salix caprea	Native
Hawthorn	Crataegus monogyna	Native
Hazel	Corylus avellana	Native
Holly	llex aquifolium	Native
Hybrid Oak	Ouercus x rosacea	Native
Pedunculate Oak	Quercus robur	Native
Sessile Oak	Quercus petraea	Native
Silver Birch	Betula pendula	Native
Spindle	Euonymous europaeus	Native
Sycamore	Acer pseudoplatanus	Naturalised
White Willow	Salix alba	Native
Wych Elm	Ulmus glabra	Native
Bramble	Rubs fructicosus	Native
Dog Rose	Rosa canina	Native
Wild Honeysuckle	Lonicera periclymenum	Native



#### Tree Count

10.47. For trees assessed as groups (ident. prefix TG), number of trees present, according to:

Table 10A.5: Tree Count
-------------------------

2-10 trees	Accurate count
11-50 trees	Close estimate
51-100 trees	Estimate

#### Ht. (m)

10.48. Tree height in metres

#### **Crown Spread**

10.49. For individual trees, measured radial crown spread in metres, listed for each of the four cardinal points.

#### MRCS

- 10.50. For trees assessed as groups or woodland, an estimated mean radial crown spread in metres.
- 10.51. **Note:** For trees assessed as woodland, sample measurements for canopy overhang beyond woodland boundary (i.e. hedgerow, fence, ditch etc.) are given on **Figure 10A.1**.

#### Mean Width

10.52. Mean width in metres of hedgerow

#### Length

10.53. Approximate length in metres of hedgerow

#### Clearance over ground

10.54. For individual trees and trees assessed as groups or woodland, height in metres above ground of attachment point of first significant branch (cardinal point may be given indicating growing direction) or of lower extent of tree canopy above ground, whichever is lower.

#### Stem Dia. (mm)

10.55. Stem diameter(s) at 1.5m above ground level (see measurement system in BS5837:2012 Annex C), given in millimetres.



- For single stemmed trees: Single measured stem diameter
- For trees with 2-5 stems: A combined stem diameter calculated as: V((stem diameter 1)<sup>2</sup>+ [(stem diameter 2)]<sup>2</sup>...+ (stem diameter 5)]<sup>2</sup>)
- For trees with >5 stems: A combined stem diameter calculated as: √((mean stem diameter)<sup>2</sup> x number of stems)
- 10.56. Where the diameter entry appears in italics, this indicates that it was estimated by the surveyor (for example, due to the presence of ivy on the stem).

#### Specimen Stem Dia.

10.57. For trees assessed as groups or woodland, stem diameter in millimetres at 1.5m above ground level. Trees with larger diameters are identified on Figure 10A.1.

#### Mean Stem Dia.

10.58. Mean stem diameter in millimetres above the basal flare of hedge or hedgerow component plants

#### Life Stage

10.59. See Table 10A.6 below for Life Stages:

Table 10A.6: Life Stages

Life Stages		
Y	Young	
SM	Semi-mature	
EM	Early-mature	
М	Mature	
ОМ	Over-mature	
V	Veteran	



#### Phys. Condition

10.60. An assessment of the physiological condition (i.e. health/vitality) status of the tree summarised according to:

Table	10A.7:	Physical	Condition
-------	--------	----------	-----------

Physical Condition		
G	Good	Generally in healthy condition
F	Fair	Condition satisfactory though below mean species performance
Р	Poor	Tree in decline / retrenching
D	Dead	Self explanatory

#### Structural condition & Notes

10.61. Notes on the apparent structural integrity of the tree based on visual tree assessment, including notes on form, taper, forking habit, storm damage, decay fungi, pests, etc. plus other pertinent observations

#### Management recommendations

- 10.62. Preliminary recommendations for intervention (e.g. tree surgery, felling, etc) in relation to existing context where the intervention is intended to remedy a significant risk to persons or property.
- 10.63. Where the recommendation is for further investigation, including removal of ivy and reinspection, the given retention span and quality/value grade (see below) should be treated as provisional.

#### Ret. Span

10.64. Estimated remaining retention span based on species, condition & context divided into the following bands (relates to quality and value grade achievable as stated):

#### Table 10A.8: QV Grades

Years	QV Grade
<10	U
10+	С



20+	В
>40	А

#### QV Grade

10.65. Quality & Value grade classification according to BS5837:2012 (see **Table 10A.9** below for an extract from BS5837:2012 '*Table 1 - Cascade Chart for Tree Quality Assessment*') –

Table 10A.9: Grade Classification according to BS5837:2012	

Grade	Summary Meaning	Ident. colour on Tree Constraints Plan (Figure 10.A)
U	Trees that are unretainable in viable condition	Dark red
A	High quality and value and consequent high retention priority	Light green
В	Moderate quality and value (moderate priority for retention)	Mid-blue
С	Low quality and value (generally considered to be sacrificial)	Grey

- 10.66. Note: Trees present which may be considered to be exceptional specimens are identified by the suffix \* after the A grade, e.g. A1\*
- 10.67. Table 10A.10 below presents an excerpt of BS5837:2012 '*Table 1 Cascade Chart for Tree Quality Assessment*' for reference.

#### Table 10A.10: Excerpt of BS5837:2012 'Table 1 - Cascade Chart for Tree Quality Assessment'

Category and definition	Criteria (i			
Category U Those in such a condition that they cannot realistically be retained as living trees in the context of the current land use for longer than 10 years	<ul> <li>Trees that have a early loss is expensive unviable after rereason, the loss of the trees that are de irreversible over.</li> <li>Trees infected w other trees near better quality</li> <li>NOTE Category U trees can be desirable to preserve; se</li> </ul>	a serious, irremediable, structura ceted due to collapse, including t moval of other U category trees of companion shelter cannot be ead or are showing signs of signif all decline ith pathogens of significance to by, or very low quality trees supp have existing or potential conse e 4.5.7	al defect, such that their hose that will become (i.e. where, for whatever mitigated by pruning) "icant, immediate, and the health and/or safety of pressing adjacent trees of ervation value which it might	BRITISH STANDARD BS 583
Category A	1 Mainly Arboricultural values Trees that are particularly good examples of their	2 Mainly landscape values Trees, groups or woodlands of particular visual	3 Mainly cultural values, including conservation Trees, groups or woodlands of significant	7:2012



Those of high quality with an estimated remaining life expectancy of at least 40 years	species, especially if rare or unusual, or essential components of groups, or of formal or semi-formal arboricultural features (e.g. the dominant and/or principal trees within an avenue)	importance as Arboricultural and/or landscape features	conservation; historical, commemorative or other value (e.g. veteran trees or wood-pasture)	
Category B Those of moderate quality with an estimated remaining life expectancy of at least 20 years	Trees that might be included in category A, but are downgraded because of impaired condition (e.g. presence of significant though remediable defects, including unsympathetic past management and storm damage), such that they are unlikely to be suitable for retention for beyond 40 years; or trees lacking the special quality necessary to merit the category A designation	Trees present in numbers, usually growing as groups or woodlands, such that they attract a higher collective rating than they might as individuals; or trees occurring as collectives but situated so as to make little visual contribution to the wider locality	Trees with material conservation or other cultural benefits	
Category C Those of low quality with an estimated remaining life expectancy of at least 10 years, or young trees with a stem diameter below 150mm	Unremarkable trees of very limited merit or such impaired condition that they do not qualify in higher categories	Trees present in groups or woodlands, but without this conferring on them significantly greater collective landscape value, and/or trees offering low or only temporary/transient landscape benefits	Trees with no material conservation or other cultural value	



# **APPENDICES**

## Appendix 10A.1: Figures

• Figure 10A.1: Tree Constraints Plan





# Appendix 10A.1: Figures





Кеу	
Notable, veteran or ancient trees	•
Category A trees	0
Category B trees	0
Category C trees	$\bigcirc$
Category U trees	0
Root Protection Areas	0
Stumps, off-site trees outside development area	0
Tree groups, hedgerows and woodland groups are sh outline, according to the colour scheme above.	nown ir

Based on topographical survey PLOT1-9.20\_026 prepared by Southern Land Surveys, dated 06/04/20

NB: Stems of the following trees and tree groups were shown on the topographical survey and are believed to be in accurate positions: Trees 1004-9, 10019, 1026-27, 1035, 1056-57, 1061, 1069, 1075 and TG1022. Hedgerow and woodland locations were also provided on the topographical survey.

ALL OTHER TREE AND TREE GROUPS ARE SHOWN IN APPROXIMATE LOCATIONS SINCE STEMS WERE NOT PLOTTED ON THE TOPOGRAPHICAL SURVEY. BEST ENDEAVOURS HAVE BEEN MADE TO REPRESENT THEM IN THEIR TRUE LOCATIONS HOWEVER, THIS PLAN MUST NOT BE RELIED UPON FOR ACCURATE DETERMINATIONS OF ROOT PROTECTION AREAS, TREE CONFLICTS OR DETAILED SETTING OUT. TREE LOCATIONS MUST BE CONFIRMED BY ON-SITE INSPECTION. WILSON TREE SURVEYS ACCEPTS NO LIABILITY FOR ERRORS AND OMISSIONS, NOR ECONOMIC CONSEQUENCES ARISING FROM THE USE OF THIS PLAN.





	Key Notable, veteran or ancient tro Category A trees Category B trees Category C trees Category U trees Root Protection Areas Stumps, off-site trees outside Tree groups, hedgerows and outline, according to the colou
HR1003	Based on topographical surv prepared by Southern Land NB: Stems of the following tr shown on the topographical be in accurate positions: Tre 1035, 1056-57, 1061, 1069, Hedgerow and woodland loc on the topographical survey. ALL OTHER TREE AND TR IN APPROXIMATE LOCATION NOT PLOTTED ON THE TC BEST ENDEAVOURS HAVE REPRESENT THEM IN THE HOWEVER, THIS PLAN MU UPON FOR ACCURATE DE ROOT PROTECTION AREA DETAILED SETTING OUT. BE CONFIRMED BY ON-SIT TREE SURVEYS ACCEPTS ERRORS AND OMISSIONS CONSEQUENCES ARISING
	Ver.       Date         A       05/05/2021         B       Checked         Warrington Office: T:01925 661 716         Glasgow Office: T:00353 (0)45 844250         Ballymena Office: T:0282 565 0413         Project:       Penper         Client:       Renewa         Drawing:       Tree St         (Sheet       Project No.:         Project No.:       NEO00066         Drawing No.:       NEO0066         Drawn: JM       Checked </th
	Scale: 1:500 @

# rees

•

0

0

0

Ο

e development area nd woodland groups are shown in lour scheme above.

ırvey PLOT1-9.20\_026 d Surveys, dated 06/04/20

y trees and tree groups were al survey and are believed to Trees 1004-9, 10019, 1026-27, 9, 1075 and TG1022. cations were also provided

TREE GROUPS ARE SHOWN ATIONS SINCE STEMS WERE TOPOGRAPHICAL SURVEY. AVE BEEN MADE TO THEIR TRUE LOCATIONS MUST NOT BE RELIED DETERMINATIONS OF REAS, TREE CONFLICTS OR UT. TREE LOCATIONS MUST N-SITE INSPECTION. WILSON PTS NO LIABILITY FOR ONS, NOR ECONOMIC SING FROM THE USE OF



Drawing:	rawing: Tree Survey Plan (Sheet 2)	
Project No.: NEO00668		
Drawing No.: NEO00668_077I_A Figure 10A.		
Drawn: JM	Checked: MM	Approved: PN
Scale:	1:500 @ A1	Revision:
Date:	05 May 2021	A



	Key		
	Notable, vetera	n or ancient trees	•
	Category A tree	S	0
	Category B tree	S	0
	Category C tree	es	$\bigcirc$
	Category U tree	2S	0
	Root Protection	Areas	0
	Stumps, off-site	trees outside developm	ent area
	outline, accordi	ng to the colour scheme	groups are snown in above.
WG1002			
	Based on topog prepared by So	raphical survey PLOT uthern Land Surveys,	1-9.20_026 dated 06/04/20
	NB: Stems of th	e following trees and t	ree groups were
	shown on the to be in accurate p	pographical survey ar positions: Trees 1004-9	id are believed to 9, 10019, 1026-27,
	1035, 1056-57, Hedgerow and v	1061, 1069, 1075 and woodland locations we	TG1022. re also provided
	on the topograp	hical survey.	
	ALL OTHER TH	REE AND TREE GROU	JPS ARE SHOWN CE STEMS WERE
	NOT PLOTTED BEST ENDEAV	ON THE TOPOGRAF	PHICAL SURVEY. 1ADE TO
	REPRESENT T HOWEVER, TH	HEM IN THEIR TRUE	LOCATIONS BE RELIED
	UPON FOR AC	CURATE DETERMINA	ATIONS OF CONFLICTS OR
	DETAILED SET	TING OUT. TREE LO D BY ON-SITE INSPE	CATIONS MUST
	TREE SURVEY	S ACCEPTS NO LIAE	BILITY FOR
	CONSEQUENC	CES ARISING FROM	THE USE OF
	-TR Safety-		
	ver. Date	Comme	ents
	A 05/05/2021		
	ME		
	ENVIRON Warrington Office: T:	MENTAL           01925 661 716         E: info@n	eo-environmental.co.uk
	Glasgow Office: T: 0	141 773 6262 E: info@n	eo-environmental.co.uk
	Naas Office: T:00353 Ballymena Office: T:0	8 (0)45 844250 E: info@n 0282 565 0413 E: info@n	eo-environmental.ie eo-environmental.co.uk
	Project:	Penpergwym	Solar Farm
	Client: Drawing:	Kenewable Co	onnection Plan
		(Sheet 3)	
	Project No.:	NEO00668	
	Drawing No.	NEO00668_0781	_A Figure 10A.1
	Drawn: JM	Checked: MM	Approved: PN
	Scale:	1:500 @ A1	Revision:

Α

05 May 2021

Date:

![](_page_45_Figure_0.jpeg)

Key	
Notable, veteran or ancient trees	•
Category A trees	0
Category B trees	0
Category C trees	$\bigcirc$
Category U trees	0
Root Protection Areas	0
Stumps, off-site trees outside development area	0
Tree groups, hedgerows and woodland groups are outline, according to the colour scheme above.	shown ir

Based on topographical survey PLOT1-9.20\_026 prepared by Southern Land Surveys, dated 06/04/20

NB: Stems of the following trees and tree groups were shown on the topographical survey and are believed to be in accurate positions: Trees 1004-9, 10019, 1026-27, 1035, 1056-57, 1061, 1069, 1075 and TG1022. Hedgerow and woodland locations were also provided on the topographical survey.

ALL OTHER TREE AND TREE GROUPS ARE SHOWN IN APPROXIMATE LOCATIONS SINCE STEMS WERE NOT PLOTTED ON THE TOPOGRAPHICAL SURVEY. BEST ENDEAVOURS HAVE BEEN MADE TO REPRESENT THEM IN THEIR TRUE LOCATIONS HOWEVER, THIS PLAN MUST NOT BE RELIED UPON FOR ACCURATE DETERMINATIONS OF ROOT PROTECTION AREAS, TREE CONFLICTS OR DETAILED SETTING OUT. TREE LOCATIONS MUST BE CONFIRMED BY ON-SITE INSPECTION. WILSON TREE SURVEYS ACCEPTS NO LIABILITY FOR ERRORS AND OMISSIONS, NOR ECONOMIC CONSEQUENCES ARISING FROM THE USE OF THIS PLAN.

![](_page_45_Picture_5.jpeg)

![](_page_46_Figure_0.jpeg)

# Key

Notable, veteran or ancient trees	•
Category A trees	0
Category B trees	0
Category C trees	$\bigcirc$
Category U trees	0
Root Protection Areas	0
Stumps, off-site trees outside development area	0

Tree groups, hedgerows and woodland groups are shown in outline, according to the colour scheme above.

Based on topographical survey PLOT1-9.20\_026 prepared by Southern Land Surveys, dated 06/04/20

NB: Stems of the following trees and tree groups were shown on the topographical survey and are believed to be in accurate positions: Trees 1004-9, 10019, 1026-27, 1035, 1056-57, 1061, 1069, 1075 and TG1022. Hedgerow and woodland locations were also provided on the topographical survey.

ALL OTHER TREE AND TREE GROUPS ARE SHOWN IN APPROXIMATE LOCATIONS SINCE STEMS WERE NOT PLOTTED ON THE TOPOGRAPHICAL SURVEY. BEST ENDEAVOURS HAVE BEEN MADE TO REPRESENT THEM IN THEIR TRUE LOCATIONS HOWEVER, THIS PLAN MUST NOT BE RELIED UPON FOR ACCURATE DETERMINATIONS OF ROOT PROTECTION AREAS, TREE CONFLICTS OR DETAILED SETTING OUT. TREE LOCATIONS MUST BE CONFIRMED BY ON-SITE INSPECTION. WILSON TREE SURVEYS ACCEPTS NO LIABILITY FOR ERRORS AND OMISSIONS, NOR ECONOMIC CONSEQUENCES ARISING FROM THE USE OF THIS PLAN.

![](_page_46_Picture_7.jpeg)

![](_page_47_Picture_0.jpeg)

	Key	
	Notable, veteran or ancient trees	•
	Category A trees	0
	Category B trees	0
	Category C trees	$\bigcirc$
	Category U trees	0
	Root Protection Areas	0
	Stumps, off-site trees outside development area	0
	Tree groups, hedgerows and woodland groups are outline, according to the colour scheme above.	shown in
3		
$\backslash$		
$\setminus$		

Based on topographical survey PLOT1-9.20\_026 prepared by Southern Land Surveys, dated 06/04/20

NB: Stems of the following trees and tree groups were shown on the topographical survey and are believed to be in accurate positions: Trees 1004-9, 10019, 1026-27, 1035, 1056-57, 1061, 1069, 1075 and TG1022. Hedgerow and woodland locations were also provided on the topographical survey.

ALL OTHER TREE AND TREE GROUPS ARE SHOWN IN APPROXIMATE LOCATIONS SINCE STEMS WERE NOT PLOTTED ON THE TOPOGRAPHICAL SURVEY. BEST ENDEAVOURS HAVE BEEN MADE TO REPRESENT THEM IN THEIR TRUE LOCATIONS HOWEVER, THIS PLAN MUST NOT BE RELIED UPON FOR ACCURATE DETERMINATIONS OF ROOT PROTECTION AREAS, TREE CONFLICTS OR DETAILED SETTING OUT. TREE LOCATIONS MUST BE CONFIRMED BY ON-SITE INSPECTION. WILSON TREE SURVEYS ACCEPTS NO LIABILITY FOR ERRORS AND OMISSIONS, NOR ECONOMIC CONSEQUENCES ARISING FROM THE USE OF THIS PLAN.

Comments

![](_page_47_Picture_5.jpeg)

Ver. Date

A 05/05/202<sup>-</sup> ENVIRONMENTAL Warrington Office: T:01925 661 716 E: info@neo-environmental.co.uk Glasgow Office: T: 0141 773 6262E: info@neo-environmental.co.ukNaas Office: T:00353 (0)45 844250E: info@neo-environmental.ieBallymena Office: T:0282 565 0413E: info@neo-environmental.co.uk Penpergwym Solar Farm Project: Client: Renewable Connection Drawing: Tree Survey Plan (Sheet 6) Project No.: NEO00668 Drawing No.: NEO00668\_081I\_A Figure 10A.1 Drawn: JM Checked: MM Approved: PN 1:500 @ A1 Scale: **Revision:** 05 May 2021 Α Date:

![](_page_48_Figure_0.jpeg)

# • 0 0 0 Ο Stumps, off-site trees outside development area

Based on topographical survey PLOT1-9.20\_026 prepared by Southern Land Surveys, dated 06/04/20

NB: Stems of the following trees and tree groups were shown on the topographical survey and are believed to be in accurate positions: Trees 1004-9, 10019, 1026-27, 1035, 1056-57, 1061, 1069, 1075 and TG1022. Hedgerow and woodland locations were also provided

ALL OTHER TREE AND TREE GROUPS ARE SHOWN IN APPROXIMATE LOCATIONS SINCE STEMS WERE NOT PLOTTED ON THE TOPOGRAPHICAL SURVEY. BEST ENDEAVOURS HAVE BEEN MADE TO REPRESENT THEM IN THEIR TRUE LOCATIONS HOWEVER, THIS PLAN MUST NOT BE RELIED UPON FOR ACCURATE DETERMINATIONS OF ROOT PROTECTION AREAS, TREE CONFLICTS OR DETAILED SETTING OUT. TREE LOCATIONS MUST BE CONFIRMED BY ON-SITE INSPECTION. WILSON TREE SURVEYS ACCEPTS NO LIABILITY FOR ERRORS AND OMISSIONS, NOR ECONOMIC CONSEQUENCES ARISING FROM THE USE OF

Α

![](_page_49_Picture_0.jpeg)

K	еу				
No	otable, vetera	an or ancient trees	;		
Ca	ategory A tre	es			0
Ca	ategory B tre	es			0
Ca	ategory C tre	es			$\bigcirc$
Ca	ategory U tre	es			0
Ro	oot Protectio	n Areas			0
St	umps, off-sit	e trees outside de	velopr	ment area	0
OL	ee groups, n itline, accord	ing to the colour s	cheme	a groups are e above.	snown ir
Bas	sed on topo	graphical survey	PLO	T1-9.20_02	6
pre NB: sho be i 103 Heo on 1	pared by So Stems of the win on the to n accurate 5, 1056-57, dgerow and the topogra	buthern Land Su he following tree opographical su positions: Trees 1061, 1069, 10 woodland locati phical survey.	rveys s and rvey a 1004 75 an ons w	, dated 06/0 tree groups and are beli -9, 10019, <sup>7</sup> d TG1022. vere also pro	04/20 s were eved to 1026-27, ovided
ALL NO BES REI HO UPO DE TRI ERI CO TH	- OTHER T APPROXIM T PLOTTEL ST ENDEAN PRESENT WEVER, TH ON FOR AC OT PROTE TAILED SE CONFIRME EE SURVE RORS AND NSEQUEN IS PLAN.	REE AND TREE ATE LOCATION O ON THE TOPO /OURS HAVE B THEM IN THEIR HIS PLAN MUST CCURATE DETE CTION AREAS, TTING OUT. TR ED BY ON-SITE YS ACCEPTS N OMISSIONS, N CES ARISING F	E GRC IS SIN DGRA EEN TRU TRU TRU TRU TRU TRU TRU INSP O LIA INSP O LIA INSP	DUPS ARE NCE STEM NHICAL SU MADE TO E LOCATIO BE RELIE NATIONS C E CONFLIC DCATIONS ECTION. V BILITY FO CONOMIC THE USE	SHOWN S WERE JRVEY. DNS D F TS OR MUST VILSON R OF
VIIV	Safety		- n		
Ver.	Date		Comn	nents	
А	05/05/2021				
EN					
Glasg Naas Ballyr	iow Office: T: ( Office: T:0035 nena Office: T	0141 773 6262 E 3 (0)45 844250 E 0282 565 0413 E	info@ info@ info@ info@	)neo-environm )neo-environm )neo-environm )neo-environm	ental.co.u iental.co.u iental.ie iental.co.u
Pro Clie Dra	ject: ent: wing:	Penpergv Renewab Tree Surv	vym ole C vey	Solar F connectio Plan	arm on
Pro	iect No :				
Dra	wing No.	:: NEO00668_	_083 MM	I_A Figur	e 10A.
Soc		1.500 @ ^	1	Pové	sion
508	ai <del>c</del> .	05 May 20	ו 1	revi /	2 2
建铁油 首		- us way 20	21		

![](_page_50_Picture_0.jpeg)

	Key		
	Notable, vetera	an or ancient trees	•
	Category A tre	es	0
	Category B tre	es	0
	Category C tre	ees	$\bigcirc$
	Category U tre	ees	0
	Root Protection	n Areas	0
	Stumps, off-sit	e trees outside development area	0
	Tree groups, h	edgerows and woodland groups a	are shown in
	outline, accord	ling to the colour scheme above.	
2 TG103 TG10 TG10 TG10 TG103 TG103 TG103 T	Based on topo	graphical survey PLOT1-9.20_	026
	prepared by So	puthern Land Surveys, dated 0	6/04/20
	NB: Stems of t	he following trees and tree grou oppographical survey and are b	ups were elieved to
	be in accurate 1035, 1056-57 Hedgerow and on the topogra	positions: Trees 1004-9, 10019 , 1061, 1069, 1075 and TG102 woodland locations were also phical survey.	9, 1026-27, 2. provided
	ALL OTHER T IN APPROXIM NOT PLOTTEI BEST ENDEA REPRESENT HOWEVER, TI UPON FOR AC ROOT PROTE DETAILED SE BE CONFIRMI TREE SURVE ERRORS AND CONSEQUEN THIS PLAN	REE AND TREE GROUPS AR ATE LOCATIONS SINCE STE O ON THE TOPOGRAPHICAL VOURS HAVE BEEN MADE TO THEM IN THEIR TRUE LOCAT HIS PLAN MUST NOT BE REL CCURATE DETERMINATIONS CTION AREAS, TREE CONFL TTING OUT. TREE LOCATION ED BY ON-SITE INSPECTION YS ACCEPTS NO LIABILITY F O OMISSIONS, NOR ECONOM CES ARISING FROM THE US	RE SHOWN MS WERE SURVEY. O TIONS IED OF LICTS OR NS MUST . WILSON FOR IIC E OF
	The safety	VILSON EE SURVEYS- Management Construction	
	Ver. Date	Comments	
	A 05/05/2021		
	he		
	ENVIRO Warrington Office: T	CO1925 661 716 E: info@neo-enviro	onmental.co.uk
	Warrington Office: T Glasgow Office: T: Naas Office: T:0038 Ballymena Office: T	Contract         E: info@neo-enviro           0141 773 6262         E: info@neo-enviro           03 (0)45 844250         E: info@neo-enviro           0282 565 0413         E: info@neo-enviro	onmental.co.uk onmental.co.uk onmental.ie onmental.co.uk
	Client:	Constant Consta	onmental.co.uk onmental.co.uk onmental.ie onmental.co.uk Farm
	Glasgow Office: T: ( Naas Office: T: 035 Ballymena Office: T Project: Client: Drawino:	Constant for the second	onmental.co.uk onmental.co.uk onmental.ie onmental.co.uk Farm tion
	Client: Drawing:	Contraction of the second state of the second	onmental.co.uk onmental.co.uk onmental.ie onmental.co.uk Farm tion
	Warrington Office: T Glasgow Office: T: (Naas Office: T: 0035 Ballymena Office: T Project: Client: Drawing: Project No.:	Contraction of the second seco	onmental.co.uk onmental.co.uk onmental.ie onmental.co.uk Farm tion
	Warrington Office: T Glasgow Office: T: (Naas Office: T: 0035 Ballymena Office: T Project: Client: Drawing: Project No.: Drawing No	Contention of the second secon	onmental.co.uk onmental.co.uk onmental.ie onmental.co.uk Farm ction
	Warrington Office: T Glasgow Office: T: 0038 Ballymena Office: T Project: Client: Drawing: Project No.: Drawing No Drawn: JM	Constant of the second state of the second sta	onmental.co.uk onmental.co.uk onmental.ie onmental.co.uk Farm tion ure 10A.1 ved: PN
	Warrington Office: T Glasgow Office: T: 0 Naas Office: T: 0035 Ballymena Office: T Project: Client: Drawing: Project No.: Drawing No Drawn: JM Scale:	Checked: MM Appro 1:500 @ A1 Re	onmental.co.uk onmental.co.uk onmental.ie onmental.co.uk Farm tion ure 10A.1 ved: PN vision:

![](_page_51_Figure_0.jpeg)

	Kev
TG1018	Notable, veteran or ancient treesCategory A treesCategory B treesCategory C treesCategory U treesCotegory U treesRoot Protection AreasStumps, off-site trees outside development areaOTree groups, hedgerows and woodland groups are shown in outline, according to the colour scheme above.
WG1004 0 1052 0 0053 0 1056 0 1055 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	
Jurge Termene andered	Based on topographical survey PLOT1-9.20_026 prepared by Southern Land Surveys, dated 06/04/20 NB: Stems of the following trees and tree groups were shown on the topographical survey and are believed to be in accurate positions: Trees 1004-9, 10019, 1026-27, 1035, 1056-57, 1061, 1069, 1075 and TG1022. Hedgerow and woodland locations were also provided on the topographical survey. ALL OTHER TREE AND TREE GROUPS ARE SHOWN IN APPROXIMATE LOCATIONS SINCE STEMS WERE
	NOT PLOTTED ON THE TOPOGRAPHICAL SURVEY.         BEST ENDEAVOURS HAVE BEEN MADE TO         REPRESENT THEM IN THEIR TRUE LOCATIONS         HOWEVER, THIS PLAN MUST NOT BE RELIED         UPON FOR ACCURATE DETERMINATIONS OF         ROOT PROTECTION AREAS, TREE CONFLICTS OR         DETAILED SETTING OUT. TREE LOCATIONS MUST         BE CONFIRMED BY ON-SITE INSPECTION. WILSON         TREE SURVEYS ACCEPTS NO LIABILITY FOR         ERRORS AND OMISSIONS, NOR ECONOMIC         CONSEQUENCES ARISING FROM THE USE OF         THIS PLAN.
	A       05/05/2021         Image: Constraint of the system of
	Scale:         1:500 @ A1         Revision:           Date:         05 May 2021         A

![](_page_52_Figure_0.jpeg)

	Key	
	Notable, veteran or ancient trees	
	Category A trees	С
	Category B trees	C
	Category C trees	)
	Category U trees (	C
	Root Protection Areas	
	Tree groups, hedgerows and woodland groups are sho	ノ wn in
	outline, according to the colour scheme above.	
	Based on topographical survey PLOT1-9.20_026	
	prepared by Southern Land Surveys, dated 06/04/20	0
	NB: Stems of the following trees and tree groups we shown on the topographical survey and are believed	ere d to
	be in accurate positions: Trees 1004-9, 10019, 1026 1035, 1056-57, 1061, 1069, 1075 and TG1022.	3-27,
	Hedgerow and woodland locations were also provid on the topographical survey.	ed
	ALL OTHER TREE AND TREE GROUPS ARE SHO	OWN
	IN APPROXIMATE LOCATIONS SINCE STEMS W NOT PLOTTED ON THE TOPOGRAPHICAL SURV	ERE /EY.
	BEST ENDEAVOURS HAVE BEEN MADE TO REPRESENT THEM IN THEIR TRUE LOCATIONS	
	HOWEVER, THIS PLAN MUST NOT BE RELIED	
	ROOT PROTECTION AREAS, TREE CONFLICTS	OR
	BE CONFIRMED BY ON-SITE INSPECTION. WILS	SON
	ERRORS AND OMISSIONS, NOR ECONOMIC	
	THIS PLAN.	
	WILSON	
	-TREE SURVEYS- Safety · Management · Construction	
	Ver. Date Comments	
ľ		
	A 05/05/2021	
	ENVIRONMENTAL	
	Warrington Office: T:01925 661 716 E: info@neo-environmenta	l.co.uk
	Glasgow Office: T: 0141 773 6262 E: info@neo-environmental Naas Office: T:00353 (0)45 844250 E: info@neo-environmenta Ballymena Office: T:0282 565 0413 E: info@neo-environmenta	l.co.uk l.ie
	Project: Penperawvm Solar Farn	<u>n</u> n
	Client: Renewable Connection	
	Drawing: Tree Survey Plan	
	Drawing No.: NEO00668 086L & Figure 1	<u>0</u>
	Drawn: JM Checked: MM Approved:	PN
	Scale: 1:500 @ A1 Revisio	n:

05 May 2021 🛛 🗛

Date:

![](_page_53_Picture_0.jpeg)

	Key
	Natable veteran ar angient trace
	Cotogory A troop
	Category A trees
	Category B trees
	Category C trees
	Category U trees
	Root Protection Areas
	Stumps, off-site trees outside development area
	Tree groups, hedgerows and woodland groups are shown in outline, according to the colour scheme above.
	Based on topographical survey PLOT1-9.20_026
	NB: Stems of the following trees and tree groups were shown on the topographical survey and are believed to
	be in accurate positions: Trees 1004-9, 10019, 1026-27, 1035, 1056-57, 1061, 1069, 1075 and TG1022.
	Hedgerow and woodland locations were also provided
	IN APPROXIMATE LOCATIONS SINCE STEMS WERE
\ E	NOT PLOTTED ON THE TOPOGRAPHICAL SURVEY. BEST ENDEAVOURS HAVE BEEN MADE TO
	REPRESENT THEM IN THEIR TRUE LOCATIONS
	UPON FOR ACCURATE DETERMINATIONS OF
	ROOT PROTECTION AREAS, TREE CONFLICTS OR DETAILED SETTING OUT. TREE LOCATIONS MUST
$\setminus$	BE CONFIRMED BY ON-SITE INSPECTION. WILSON
	ERRORS AND OMISSIONS, NOR ECONOMIC
	CONSEQUENCES ARISING FROM THE USE OF THIS PLAN.
	Barety+Management+Lonstruction
	Ver. Date Comments
	A 05/05/2021
	<b>ENVIRONMENTAL</b>
	Giasgow Οπιce: I: U141 //3 6262 E: info@neo-environmental.co.uk Naas Office: T:00353 (0)45 844250 E: info@neo-environmental.ie Ballymena Office: T:0282 565 0413 F: info@neo-environmental.co.uk
	Project: Penperawvm Solar Farm
	Client: Renewable Connection
	Drawing: Tree Survey Plan
	(Sheet 12)
	Project No.: NEO00668
	Drawing No.: NEO00668_087I_A Figure 10A.1
	Drawn: JM Checked: MM Approved: PN

Scale:

Date:

1:500 @ A1

05 May 2021

**Revision:** 

Α

![](_page_54_Picture_0.jpeg)

Кеу				
Notable, veteran or ancient trees	•			
Category A trees	0			
Category B trees	0			
Category C trees	$\bigcirc$			
Category U trees	0			
Root Protection Areas	0			
Stumps, off-site trees outside development area	0			
Tree groups, hedgerows and woodland groups are shown in outline, according to the colour scheme above.				

Based on topographical survey PLOT1-9.20\_026 prepared by Southern Land Surveys, dated 06/04/20

NB: Stems of the following trees and tree groups were shown on the topographical survey and are believed to be in accurate positions: Trees 1004-9, 10019, 1026-27, 1035, 1056-57, 1061, 1069, 1075 and TG1022. Hedgerow and woodland locations were also provided on the topographical survey.

ALL OTHER TREE AND TREE GROUPS ARE SHOWN IN APPROXIMATE LOCATIONS SINCE STEMS WERE NOT PLOTTED ON THE TOPOGRAPHICAL SURVEY. BEST ENDEAVOURS HAVE BEEN MADE TO REPRESENT THEM IN THEIR TRUE LOCATIONS HOWEVER, THIS PLAN MUST NOT BE RELIED UPON FOR ACCURATE DETERMINATIONS OF ROOT PROTECTION AREAS, TREE CONFLICTS OR DETAILED SETTING OUT. TREE LOCATIONS MUST BE CONFIRMED BY ON-SITE INSPECTION. WILSON TREE SURVEYS ACCEPTS NO LIABILITY FOR ERRORS AND OMISSIONS, NOR ECONOMIC CONSEQUENCES ARISING FROM THE USE OF THIS PLAN.

![](_page_54_Picture_5.jpeg)

![](_page_55_Picture_0.jpeg)

	Key		
	Notable veter	or ancient trace	
		s	
	Category R tree	s	
	Category C tree	s	0
	Category U tree	S	0
	Root Protection	Areas	0
	Stumps, off-site	trees outside development a	rea 🔿
	Tree groups, he	dgerows and woodland grou	ps are shown in
	outline, accordir	ng to the colour scheme abov	e.
10			
\			
/			
HR1040			
	Based on topog prepared by Sor	raphical survey PLOT1-9.2 uthern Land Surveys, date	20_026 d 06/04/20
	NB: Stems of th	e following trees and tree	groups were
TG1029	shown on the to	pographical survey and ar	e believed to 019, 1026-27,
	1035, 1056-57, Hedgerow and y	1061, 1069, 1075 and TG1	1022.
$\times$	on the topograp	hical survey.	
1089		REE AND TREE GROUPS	ARE SHOWN
(		ON THE TOPOGRAPHIC	AL SURVEY.
	REPRESENT T	HEM IN THEIR TRUE LOO	CATIONS
	HOWEVER, TH	IS PLAN MUST NOT BE F CURATE DETERMINATIC	RELIED ONS OF
	ROOT PROTEC	CTION AREAS, TREE CON TING OUT. TREE LOCAT	NFLICTS OR
	BE CONFIRME	D BY ON-SITE INSPECTION S ACCEPTS NO LIABILIT	ON. WILSON Y FOR
	ERRORS AND	OMISSIONS, NOR ECON ES ARISING FROM THE	OMIC USE OF
	THIS PLAN.		
	🦚 W	ILSON	
	-TR Safety.	EESURVEYS- Management.Construction	
	Ver. Date	Comments	
	A 05/05/2021		
		IMENTAL	
	Warrington Office: T:	01925 661 716 E: info@neo-er	nvironmental.co.uk
	Glasgow Office: T: 0	41 773 6262 E: info@neo-er	vironmental.co.uk
	Ballymena Office: T:00353	282 565 0413 E: info@neo-er	vironmental.ie
	Project:	Penpergwym Sol	ar Farm
	Client:	Kenewable Conn	ection
	Brawing:	(Sheet 14)	I
	Project No.:	NEO00668	
	Drawing No.:	NEO00668_089I_A F	-igure 10A.1
			1
	Drawn: JM	Checked: MM App	oroved: PN

05 May 2021

Date:

Α

![](_page_56_Picture_0.jpeg)

# Key

Notable, veteran or ancient trees	
Category A trees	0
Category B trees	0
Category C trees	$\bigcirc$
Category U trees	0
Root Protection Areas	
Stumps, off-site trees outside development area	0

Tree groups, hedgerows and woodland groups are shown in outline, according to the colour scheme above.

Based on topographical survey PLOT1-9.20\_026 prepared by Southern Land Surveys, dated 06/04/20

NB: Stems of the following trees and tree groups were shown on the topographical survey and are believed to be in accurate positions: Trees 1004-9, 10019, 1026-27, 1035, 1056-57, 1061, 1069, 1075 and TG1022. Hedgerow and woodland locations were also provided on the topographical survey.

ALL OTHER TREE AND TREE GROUPS ARE SHOWN IN APPROXIMATE LOCATIONS SINCE STEMS WERE NOT PLOTTED ON THE TOPOGRAPHICAL SURVEY. BEST ENDEAVOURS HAVE BEEN MADE TO REPRESENT THEM IN THEIR TRUE LOCATIONS HOWEVER, THIS PLAN MUST NOT BE RELIED UPON FOR ACCURATE DETERMINATIONS OF ROOT PROTECTION AREAS, TREE CONFLICTS OR DETAILED SETTING OUT. TREE LOCATIONS MUST BE CONFIRMED BY ON-SITE INSPECTION. WILSON TREE SURVEYS ACCEPTS NO LIABILITY FOR ERRORS AND OMISSIONS, NOR ECONOMIC CONSEQUENCES ARISING FROM THE USE OF THIS PLAN.

![](_page_56_Picture_7.jpeg)

![](_page_57_Picture_0.jpeg)

#### **GLASGOW - HEAD OFFICE**

Wright Business Centre, 1 Lonmay Road, Glasgow G33 4EL T: 0141 773 6262 www.neo-environmental.co.uk

**N. IRELAND OFFICE** 

#### **IRELAND OFFICE**

**RUGBY OFFICE** 

83-85 Bridge Street Ballymena, Co. Antrim Northern Ireland BT43 5EN T: 0282 565 04 13

Johnstown Business Centre Johnstown House, Naas Co. Kildare T: 00 353 (0)45 844250 E: info@neo-environmental.ie T: 01788 297012

Valiant Office Suites Lumonics House, Valley Drive, Swift Valley, Rugby, Warwickshire, CV21 1TQ

#### WARRINGTON OFFICE

Cinnamon House, Cinnamon Park Crab Lane, Fearnhead Warrington Cheshire T: 01925 661 716